



CITY COUNCIL AGENDA
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING PRESENTED LIVE ON KCLV, CABLE CHANNEL 2, AND ARE CLOSED CAPTIONED FOR OUR HEARING IMPAIRED VIEWERS. THE COUNCIL MEETING, AS WELL AS ALL OTHER KCLV PROGRAMMING, CAN BE VIEWED ON THE INTERNET AT www.kclv.tv. THE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE REBROADCAST ON KCLV CHANNEL 2 AND THE WEB THE WEDNESDAY OF THE MEETING AT 8:00 PM, AND ALSO ON FRIDAY AT 4:00 AM, SATURDAY AT 7:00 PM, SUNDAY AT 7:00 AM AND THE FOLLOWING MONDAY AT 1:00 PM.

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
- INVOCATION - RABBI RICHARD SCHACHET, VALLEY OUTREACH SYNAGOGUE
- PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

MINUTES:

PRESENT: MAYOR PRO TEM REESE and COUNCIL MEMBERS M. McDONALD, BROWN, L.B. McDONALD (excused from the morning session until 9:15 a.m.), WEEKLY, and MACK

EXCUSED: MAYOR GOODMAN (attending a Special Mayors Conference in Atlanta to lecture on tourism)

Also Present: CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER STEVE HOUCHENS, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER BETSY FRETWELL, CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT (P.M. Session), and CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEMUS

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE – Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

Las Vegas Library, 833 Las Vegas Boulevard North
Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road
Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway
Court Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall
City Hall Plaza, Posting Board

(9:05 – 9:06)

1-1

RABBI RICHARD SCHACHET, Valley Outreach Synagogue, gave the invocation.

(9:06 – 9:07)

1-29

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE led the audience in the Pledge.

(9:07 – 9:08)



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Announcements – Continued

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD announced that he was wearing a Rams tie because he lost a bet; the Oakland Raiders beat the Rams.

(9:26 – 9:28)

1-655

At the request of COUNCILMAN MACK, MAYOR PRO TEM REESE announced that the Centennial Hills Day at Sunny Springs Park would be held on Saturday, October 19, 2002, from 11:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. COUNCILMAN MACK added that this Saturday is the Second Annual Centennial Hills Day. A skateboard clinic will be held starting at 10:00 a.m. Great entertainment will be provided throughout the entire day of this celebration. He encouraged everyone to attend.

(9:28 – 9:29)

1-745

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF CITIZEN OF THE MONTH

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE called EDDIE ESCOBEDO forward and recognized him as Citizen of the Month for October for his many contributions to the community. MR. ESCOBEDO has always spoken against injustices toward the Hispanic community. He takes charge when children need a safe place to play or athletic sponsorships. He also finds a way to find scholarships for students that need computer training. Because of MR. ESCOBEDO'S tireless promotion of significant holidays and cultural events, Hispanic community pride is growing. Community involvement has new life because of his support of citizenship classes and voter registration drives. The City's goal is to create safe, vibrant neighborhoods where citizens can take pride in themselves and their community, and MR. ESCOBEDO has done a lot to move the City towards that goal.

MR. ESCOBEDO felt undeserving of this recognition yet truly honored. He sincerely thanked the Council members.

(9:08 – 9:11)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

FIRE CHIEF DAVID WASHINGTON and DEPUTY CHIEF JEFF MORGAN of the Las Vegas Fire and Rescue Department joined MAYOR PRO TEM REESE to honor PAUL P. CARD IV, firefighter and paramedic, as October's Employee of the Month. MR. CARD is a member of the Operations division of the Fire and Rescue Department, and has been with the City since 1998. The Division is the action arm of the Department in charge of firefighting efforts, medical response, training, and rescue operations. FIRE CAPTAIN JESS CAMPBELL nominated MR. CARD for his ability to analyze a complex situation and take action to minimize its impact on the community.

During a series of routine calls to an elderly assisted living complex, MR. CARD quickly detected a pattern of calls and was able to alert the proper authorities of a possible virus outbreak in the facility. After carrying out an investigation, the Clark County Health District determined that an outbreak had in fact started within the facility.

Because of MR. CARD'S great understanding and knowledge of EMS, this outbreak was minimized, and many people were saved a great deal of pain and suffering. MR. CARD exhibits great commitment to his job, and his professionalism and dedication prevented a dangerous situation from getting worse.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002

Ceremonial

Recognition of the Employee of the Month

MINUTES – Continued:

CHIEF WASHINGTON said that he really appreciates it when any member of his staff is recognized. MR. CARD is an outstanding employee and very straightforward.

DEPUTY CHIEF MORGAN felt very lucky to have an employee such as MR. CARD. As part of the training firefighters and paramedics receive, they are taught to key on any types of patterns. But even with that training, it is sometimes very difficult to focus and convince people that something is wrong. Yet MR. CARD was persistent, which speaks to his commitment to his profession and the citizens.

MR. CARD said all public employees have the same job of helping the citizens, and he believes that they all take it seriously. He expressed appreciation to EDDIE ESCOBEDO, who was recognized as Citizen of the Month, for choosing to do what he does in order to help the community.

He thanked MIKE MYERS, for setting the standard for EMS and protection of the community, as well as the leaders of the Firefighters Union, for working so hard for the members. He also thanked the City administration for helping the firefighters and the community.

(9:11 – 9:16)

1-187

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF SENIOR OF THE QUARTER

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE introduced HARRY FUREY, member of the Senior Citizens Advisory Board, who gave this presentation. MR. FUREY commented that VIRGINIA POWERS was being honored for making a difference in her community, the Rancho Manor Neighborhood. With the help of numerous volunteers, MS. POWERS tackled traffic-calming issues, initiated a community newsletter, encouraged others to develop a vision for improving the quality of life in their mature neighborhood, and developed strong partnerships with local businesses, such as Home Depot. In July 2002, MS. POWERS and other volunteers completed an entryway beautification project with the creative input from a local landscape designer.

MS. POWERS inspires people to do their best. During the past year, she walked her neighborhood, knocked on doors, explained what other volunteers are doing, and asked residents to participate in some community project. She and the project team initiated the "Home of the Month" program, wherein the winning home will receive a \$50 gift certificate to Home Depot.

City of Las Vegas

Most recently, one of the female residents from her neighborhood was diagnosed with cancer. The treatments she received made her so tired she could barely drive. When MS. POWERS found out about this, she motivated neighbors to volunteer their time, taking turns driving the lady to work.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002

Ceremonial

Recognition of Senior of the Quarter

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. FUREY congratulated MS. POWERS, who is very deserving of this award, even though she will tell you that she could not have done it by herself.

MS. POWERS said that she appreciates everybody that was involved in making the decision to recognize her, because it affirms to her that at 74 years of age she is on the right path. Nevertheless, her mission is service to the community and to make a difference every day. But without a lot of help from the many sponsors and many, many neighbors that have come forward to volunteer their time, she could not have accomplished so much and enhanced her community.

She commented that in looking back, she realizes that the first time she really commenced to enjoy volunteerism was through her daughters' involvement in Girl Scouts, because she was determined that her daughters would be involved in Scouts. Their involvement in Scouts made her get involved and she became the Scout leader. In 1992, she wound up in Washington D.C. because of her involvement in "Make a Difference Day."

She stressed that her best tool in building communities has been a book she obtained from the Neighborhood College about building communities from the inside out. She was so impressed with this book, that she ordered the video and audiotapes, so she is going to be creating a lot of dust this year. Lastly, MS. POWERS thanked the Council, MARIA CASTILLO-COUCH, and Neighborhood Services staff.

(9:16 – 9:21)

1-337

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CIVIL ENGINEERS

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Submitted at the meeting: copy of the Proclamation

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE invited CHARLIE KAJKOWSKI, City Engineer, CHAD ANSON, President of the American Society of Civil Engineers, and KRISTINA SWALLOW, Past President of the American Society of Civil Engineers, to come forward and assist in this presentation.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE indicated that professional civil engineers make important decisions that impact the infrastructure of the entire city. The American Society of Civil Engineers is a professional organization representing more than 125,000 civil engineers. The Association encourages and facilitates the professional development of its members and supports the advancement of civil engineering students through educational, scholarship, and mentoring programs.

The City employs many of these professionals who contribute to the design and construction of the City buildings, parks, recreational facilities, and other public infrastructure. For this, the City Council chose to recognize all civil engineers by proclaiming Tuesday, November 5, 2002, to be Civil Engineers Day.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Ceremonial
Recognition of the American Society of Civil Engineers

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. KAJKOWSKI gave thanks to the City Council for recognizing the profession of 1,691 civil engineers in Nevada. He stated that the City of Las Vegas currently employs 26 civil engineers. Five of those have successfully completed the highest level of certification in the field of Professional Traffic Operations Engineers. Those individuals are O.C. WHITE, Traffic Engineer, JORGE CERVANTES, Assistant Traffic Engineer, QIONG LIU, Project Manager, YONGYAO LOU, Project Engineer, and DAVID GUERRERO.

MR. KAJKOWSKI noted that civil engineers have made a profound impact on society. Through their work citizens can take for granted the safety, quality, and reliability of water supplies, as well as the integrity of the transportation systems.

MR. ANSON thanked the Council for this proclamation. He tipped his hat to the Council members, RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works, and MR. KAJKOWSKI for keeping up with the infrastructure of one of the fastest growing communities in the country. They have a lot of vision. He invited the Council members, MR. GOECKE, and MR. KAJKOWSKI to the Civil Engineers 150th Birthday Celebration on November 21, 2002, from 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m., at the Palo Verde Library.

MS. SWALLOW presented MAYOR PRO TEM REESE and the Council members with commemorative coins celebrating the American Society of Civil Engineers' 150th Anniversary and the dedication of Hoover Dam as a monument of the millennium commemorative coin.

(9:21 – 9:29)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Any items from the morning session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – Motion to bring forward and ACCEPT WITHDRAWAL of Items 42 and 43 and STRIKE Item 46 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:29 – 9:30)

1-773

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Approval of the Final Minutes by reference of the Regular City Council Meeting of September 18, 2002

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED by Reference – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:30)

1-794

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$39,340,795.28☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Accounting Operations☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** All Funds**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In compliance with the City's Municipal Code, Chapter 4.12, this is an informational item that provides the dollar amount of disbursements processed by the Finance and Business Services Department.

RECOMMENDATION:**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Summary of cash expenditures for the period 09/01/02 - 09/15/02

Total Services and Materials Checks	\$	17,513,541.21
Total Payroll Checks	\$	4,525,055.17
Total Wire Transfers	\$	17,302,198.90
Total NBS & City Investments		0.00

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that although his brother Steven Mack owns a SuperPawn shop in the commercial center involved in Item No. 9, he would be voting, as he has not discussed the matter with his brother, and he does not believe his brother's shop will be impacted.

NOTE: Regarding Item No. 5, which involves a Special Event Liquor License for Our Lady of Las Vegas, COUNCILMAN McDONALD disclosed that he no longer serves on the Board for Our Lady of Las Vegas. Also, regarding Item No. 26, he sits on the Board for the Las Vegas Springs Preserve. He conferred with the City Attorney on these Items, who advised him that there would be no conflict with him voting on Items 5 and 26.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002

Finance and Business Services

Item 3 – Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfer/Other Checks and Investments

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY commented that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed the Real Estate Item on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that it be approved by the City Council.

COUNCILMAN MACK requested that Item No. 11 be pulled from the Consent Agenda for discussion.

There was no further discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Tina Rane Johnson, dba Tina Rane Johnson, 6633 Miners Hope, Las Vegas, NV 89108, Tina R. Johnson, 100% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License for Our Lady of Las Vegas, Location: 3050 Alta Drive,
Date: October 19, 2002, Type: Special Event General, Event: Halloween Festival for Parish,
Responsible Person in Charge: Lucille Montesano - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

NOTE: Regarding Item No. 5, which involves a Special Event Liquor License for Our Lady of Las Vegas, COUNCILMAN McDONALD disclosed that he no longer serves on the Board for Our Lady of Las Vegas. Also, regarding Item No. 26, he sits on the Board for the Las Vegas Springs Preserve. He conferred with the City Attorney on these Items, who advised him that there would be no conflict with him voting on Items 5 and 26.

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Auctioneer License, Jay C. Rosen, dba Rosen & Company, West, 6120 West Tropicana Ave., Suite A10, Jay C. Rosen, 100% - County

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Auctioneer License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Burglar Alarm Service License, James W. Clark, dba Clark County Alarm Company, 4328 Orangeblossom Street, James W. Clark, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Burglar Alarm Service License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for a Hypnotist License, Liana Snyder, LLC, dba Liana Snyder, From: 2921 North Tenaya Way, #342, To: 7501 West Lake Mead Blvd., Suite 114, Liana G. Snyder, Mmbr, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for a Hypnotist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License, Nevcorp Consultants, dba Jade Garden, 6706 West Cheyenne Ave., Thomas E. Wilson, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that although his brother Steven Mack owns a SuperPawn shop in the commercial center involved in Item No. 9, he would be voting, as he has not discussed the matter with his brother, and he does not believe his brother's shop will be impacted.

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of award of Contract 030150 for design, equipment procurement, installation, integration and project management for the Communications Building, including the relocation of the existing KCLV facility (KR) - City Manager's Office - Award recommended to: MCSI, INC. (\$725,000 - Enterprise Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$725,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** City Manager's Office☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Enterprise Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This contract provides for design, equipment procurement, installation, integration and project management for the Communications Building, including the relocation of the existing KCLV facility. MCSi, Inc. was competitively selected based on best overall value.

POC: James R. Chalifoux - (480) 829-6644

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Contract 030150 to MCSi, Inc. in the amount of \$700,000, plus a contingency amount of \$25,000. Authority to execute Contract on behalf of the City is given to Finance Director per R-145-2001.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Cooperative Agreement and the issuance of a purchase order to provide snacks for the Department of Leisure Services' Safekey programs (CW) - Department of Leisure Services - Award recommended to: CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT (Estimated annual amount of \$504,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$504,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Leisure Services

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request provides snacks for the Department of Leisure Services' Safekey program.

This agreement is exempt from the competitive bidding requirement pursuant to NRS 227.180, Interlocal Contracts.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the Agreement with CCSD and issuance of PO for Safekey snacks from 8/1/02 - 7/31/03 w/ annual renewals as long as NRS 227.180 is in effect in the estimated annual amount of \$504,000. Authority to execute Agreement on behalf of the City is given to FBS Director per R-145-2001.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK explained that he wanted the matter brought forward for discussion because he felt it warranted a brief explanation. The program is to be able to provide snacks through Safekey. He pointed out that there is another item on the agenda regarding funding for after-school sport programs in middle schools. He strongly believes that good nutrition and physical fitness are very important to the children.

DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Director of Leisure Services, commented that this matter involves the provision of snacks at the afternoon Safekey program. Approximately 2,400 snacks are served each day at the 56 locations. This is done in concert with the School District, who can efficiently provide the

storage and delivery necessary to provide the snacks. She requested the Council's support on this matter.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002

Consent – Finance and Business Services

Item 11 - Approval of Interlocal Cooperative Agreement and the issuance of a purchase order to provide snacks for the Department of Leisure Services' Safekey programs (CW) - Department of Leisure Services - Award recommended to: CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

MINUTES – Continued:

AL GALLEGO, citizen of Las Vegas, wondered if this program reaches the Safekey children that reside in the City and attend school in North Las Vegas. COUNCILMAN REESE said that hopefully it does.

COUNCILMAN MACK requested the funding mechanism for the program. DR. JACKSON indicated that parents pay a weekly fee of approximately \$26. Approximately 93% of the participants in the program are paying parents, so that cost is paid directly to the City. The other 7% fall into a scholarship category, for which the City is reimbursed through a State grant.

There was no further discussion.

(9:32 – 9:35)

1-861

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of rejection of bid and award of Bid Number 030015-DAR, Annual Requirements Contract for Lamps and Bulbs - Various Departments - Award recommended to: VEGAS ELECTRIC SUPPLY (Estimated annual usage amount of \$500,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$500,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Various Departments☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This requirement will provide for an annual requirements contract for lamps and bulbs used in the daily maintenance replacement in all City Buildings.

The apparent low bidder (PQL, Inc.) does not have a local stocking warehouse nor did this bid reflect just one manufacturer as required in the bid documents; thus, this bid is deemed non-responsive and award recommended to next low bidder.

POC: Scott Fowler, Vegas Electric Supply - (702) 367-0980

RECOMMENDATION:

That City Council approve the rejection of bid submitted by PQL, Inc. as non-responsive and approve award of Bid No. 030015-DAR, ARC Contract for Lamps & Bulbs to Vegas Electric Supply in the estimated annual amount of \$500,000 from date of award through October 31, 2003, with four one-year renewal options.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the issuance of a purchase order for an annual requirements contract for Amkus Fire Fighting Equipment (KF) - Department of Fire & Rescue - Award recommended to:
DIAMONDBACK FIRE & RESCUE (Estimated annual amount \$195,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$195,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire & Rescue

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for an annual requirements contract for Amkus Fire Fighting Equipment to be used at all Fire Stations in the City of Las Vegas. The contract period will be from date of award through October 31, 2003 with three (3) one-year renewal options.

This requirement is exempt from the competitive bidding procedures pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(a), Items which may only be contracted from a sole source.

POC: Peggy Burch - (888) 355-9111

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for an annual requirements contract for Amkus Fire Fighting Equipment for the period from date of award through October 31, 2003 w/ three one-year renewal options to Diamondback Fire & Rescue in the estimated annual amount of \$195,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the issuance of a purchase order for one (1) Broom Street Sweeper under Open End Contract Number 010079-TC (DAR) - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: HAAKER EQUIPMENT CO. (\$131,000 - Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$131,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations/Fleet Mgmt

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Internal Service Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On June 20, 2001, City Council approved the award of an open end contract with Haaker Equipment Co. for the purchase of four (4) Broom Street Sweepers. Haaker Equipment Co. has agreed to hold the current price for one (1) additional Sweeper for a replacement to the fleet that is beyond economical repair.

POC: Bill Haaker - (909) 598-2706

RECOMMENDATION:

That City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for one (1) Broom Street Sweeper under open end contract number 010079-TC (DAR) to Haaker Equipment Co. in the amount of \$131,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of award of Contract 030166 for the development of Center for Disease Control National Pharmaceutical Stockpile training materials and training services in coordination with the City as an awardee of a subgrant from the Nevada State Health Division (KR) - Dept. of Fire & Rescue- Award recommended to SO. NEVADA AREA HEALTH EDUCATION COUNCIL (\$96,100 - Special Revenue Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$96,100☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Fire & Rescue☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Special Revenue Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The contract provides for the development of training materials for the CDC National Pharmaceutical Stockpile, including training services in coordination with the City as an awardee of subgrant 2902 awarded by the Nevada State Health Division for the period from award through 8/30/03.

This request is exempt from the competitive bidding procedures pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(b), professional services.

POC: Rose M. Yuhos - (702) 318-8452

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Contract 030150 to Southern Nevada Area Health Education Council from 10/16/02 through 8/30/03 in the amount of \$96,100. Authority to execute Contract on behalf of the City is given to the Finance Director per R-145-2001.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 030154(KF), Open End Contract for Three (3) Video Teleconferencing Systems (Polycom) - Department of Detention & Enforcement - Award recommended to: WIRE ONE TECHNOLOGIES (\$81,890 - Special Revenue Fund) - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$81,890

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Detention & Enforcement

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Special Revenue Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This contract will provide for the purchase of three (3) Video Teleconferencing Systems (Polycom) for use by the Department of Detention & Enforcement and provides for the purchase of additional systems through December 31, 2003 as funds become available.

POC: Gerri Keilman - (415) 789-5472

RECOMMENDATION:

That City Council approve the award of Bid Number 030154 (KF), Open End Contract for three (3) Video Teleconferencing Systems (Polycom) to Wire One Technologies in the amount of \$81,890.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the issuance of a purchase order for an annual requirements contract for Elgin, Vactor and Mobile OEM parts and service (DAR) - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: HAAKER EQUIPMENT (Estimated annual amount of \$50,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$50,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations/Fleet Mgmt

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for an annual requirements contract for Elgin, Vactor and Mobile Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) parts and service on various City of Las Vegas equipment.

This requirement is exempt from competitive bidding pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(a), Items which may only be contracted from a sole source.

POC: Keith Delk - (909) 598-2706, ext. 24

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for an annual requirements contract for Elgin, Vactor and Mobile OEM parts & service to Haaker Equipment for the period 11/1/02 to 10/31/03 in the estimated annual amount of \$50K with annual one-year renewals as long as the bidding exemption exists.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the issuance of a purchase order for an annual requirements contract for radiological services for Fire & Rescue personnel (JDF) - Department of Fire & Rescue - Award recommended to: DESERT RADIOLOGISTS (Estimated annual amount of \$50,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$50,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire & Rescue

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This requirement is for radiological services for Fire & Rescue personnel.

This item is exempt from competitive bidding pursuant to 332.115.1(b), Professional Services.

POC: Jené M. Pellettieri - (702) 382-3261

RECOMMENDATION:

That City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for radiological services for Fire & Rescue personnel in the estimated amount of \$50,000 for the period from date of award through December 31, 2003.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FIRE & RESCUE**DIRECTOR: DAVID L. WASHINGTON**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of a conceptual Agreement for Fire Department Training to be used with future property owners who allow our fire personnel to conduct technical fire training on their building before it is demolished - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Las Vegas Fire & Rescue would like to implement a new agreement for conducting technical training on property that the owners intend to demolish, destroy, and raze. The agreement allows the property owner to give their consent to the Fire Department to use the building for burning or other destructive fire training while releasing the City and the Fire Department from any and all claims during the training exercise. Although the agreement would be approved conceptually by City Council, each individual agreement will still need to be reviewed and signed off by the City Attorney's Office prior to the actual training event.

RECOMMENDATION:

Las Vegas Fire & Rescue recommends approval.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agreement for Fire Department Training

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES

DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to contract with Principal for the Long Term Disability Plan (\$251,940 - Self-insurance Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$251,940

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Human Resources

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Self-insurance Internal Service Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City currently has the Long Term Disability Plan contracted with ReliaStar. A Request for Proposal (RFP) was submitted on July 17, 2002, requesting bid proposals that matched or exceeded current benefit levels. As a result of the RFP, the Principal bid reduces expenses by \$117,420 annually. The contract has no rate increases for a period of three years.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approve the contract with Principal for the Long Term Disability Plan.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Disability & Life Quote Request from Comrisk Insurance dated July 17, 2002
2. Custom Benefits Proposal for the City of Las Vegas, presented by Comrisk Insurance

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES**DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval to contract with Principal for the Basic Life Insurance Plan (\$189,672 - Self-insurance Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$189,672☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Human Resources☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Self-insurance Internal Service Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City currently has the Basic Life Insurance Plan contracted with Standard Insurance. A Request for Proposal (RFP) was submitted on July 17, 2002, requesting bid proposals that matched or exceeded current benefit levels. As a result of the RFP, the Principal bid matched current expenses and increased the level of Basic Life Insurance coverage by \$5,000 per employee. The contract has no rate increases for a period of three years.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approve the contract with Principal for the Basic Life Insurance Plan.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Disability & Life Quote Request from Comrisk Insurance dated July 17, 2002
2. Custom Benefits Proposal for the City of Las Vegas, presented by Comrisk Insurance
3. E-mail from Greg Mayfield to Steve Miller (HR, Insurance) dated September 13, 2002; Subject: Group Life

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES**DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval to renew and expand the contract with GENEX for the large case management program and Utilization Review Services (\$123,900 - Self-insurance Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$123,900☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Human Resources☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Self-insurance Internal Service Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

As part of the self-funded medical insurance program, the City Council approved the institution of a large case management program effective January 2000. This program has been extremely successful with documented savings to the self-funded medical program of over \$1,000,000 in its three years of operation. GENEX currently provides the large case management services. GENEX duties will be expanded to assume Utilization Review Services currently provided by USI Administrators. This change will result in a cost savings of \$10,000 annually.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval to renew and expand the contract with GENEX for the large case management program and Utilization Review Services.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Letter from GENEX to Victoria Robinson dated September 24, 2002

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES**DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval to contract with Marsh for consulting/broker services regarding employee benefits (\$32,000 - Self-insurance Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$32,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Human Resources☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Self-insurance Internal Service Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City currently does not use a consultant/broker for employee benefits. With the passage of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) privacy regulations, a consultant/broker is needed to help the City of Las Vegas to become compliant with new laws. Other consulting services will be provided on an as needed basis. Marsh currently provides consulting/broker services on Workers Compensation issues. Funding will be provided from cost savings generated from the change in the Long Term Disability Plan provider.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approve the contract with Marsh for consulting/broker services regarding employee benefits

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Invoice #101 from Marsh dated October 1, 2002

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES

DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of payment for a Vocational Rehabilitation Lump Sum Award - Claim #WC00040241, as required under the workers' compensation statutes (\$25,000 - Workers' Compensation Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$25,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Human Resources

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Workers' Compensation Internal Service Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Pursuant to a Stipulated Agreement, a City employee has been awarded a lump-sum buy-out for his vocational rehabilitation benefits due to a workers' compensation injury. This award was agreed upon by all parties.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval of the \$25,000 award

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of substituting \$189,208 of HOME funds allocated to Community Development Programs Center of Nevada (CDPCN) for their 11th Street Senior Apartments Project development of senior apartments with \$189,208 Low Income Housing Trust Funds (LIHTF) and allocating an additional \$1,000 of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds for site and utility plan costs - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** CDBG**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

City Council allocated HOME funds of \$189,208 on March 20, 2002 to CDPCN for the development of 11th Street Senior Apartments. CDPCN had requested a variance to use the HOME funds for the project from the Housing and Urban Development (HUD). Tax credits awarded to the project require the project to move forward immediately, so CDPCN is asking to exchange \$189,208 HOME funds from this project for \$189,208 LIHTF from their Senator Richard Bryan Senior Apartment project. In addition, CDPCN requires the CDBG funding in order to fully underwrite the project.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Manager recommends that the City Council approve the reallocation and the new allocation of funds and authorize the Mayor to execute the Agreement with CDPCN after it has been approved by the City Attorney.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Letter from Community Development Programs Center of Nevada dated September 24, 2002

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Interlocal Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and the Las Vegas Valley Water District for reimbursement of funds for the development of the Las Vegas Springs Preserve through the Southern Nevada Public Lands Management Act (SNPLMA) (\$2,200,000 - SNPLMA Grant) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$2,200,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Finance & Business Services

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: SNPLMA Grant

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In June of 2001 the City, on behalf of the Las Vegas Valley Water District, received approval from the U.S. Secretary of the Interior for 2.2 million dollars funding through the Southern Nevada Public Lands Management Act (SNPLMA) for the development of the cienega portion of the Las Vegas Springs Preserve. The proposed Interlocal Agreement is to formalize the pass-through of these funds from the BLM to the Water District by the City.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Agreement

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

NOTE: Regarding Item No. 5, which involves a Special Event Liquor License for Our Lady of Las Vegas, COUNCILMAN McDONALD disclosed that he no longer serves on the Board for Our Lady of Las Vegas. Also, regarding Item No. 26, he sits on the Board for the Las Vegas Springs Preserve. He conferred with the City Attorney on these Items, who advised him that there would be no conflict with him voting on Items 5 and 26.

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Second Supplemental Interlocal Contract LAS.16.C.99 between the City of Las Vegas, Clark County Regional Flood Control District and Centennial Centre L.L.C. for construction of the US 95 Channel between Centennial Parkway and the Rancho Detention Basin to extend the date of completion to February 8, 2003 - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Second Supplemental Interlocal Contract LAS.16.C.99 for construction of the US 95 Channel between Centennial Parkway and the Rancho Detention Basin will extend the date of completion to February 8, 2003 to allow adequate time to process billings. CCRFCD's Board approved this contract at their September 12, 2002 meeting. Total cost for this contract shall not exceed \$30,000.00.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Second Supplemental Interlocal Contract LAS.16.C.99

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Interlocal Contract for the Arterial Reconstruction Program Fiscal Year 2003 between the City of Las Vegas and Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada (RTC) for basic improvements to arterial roads (\$1,714,000 - RTC) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$1,714,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Work/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Interlocal Contract applies to basic improvements for arterial roads. Improvements may include crack sealing, concrete repairs, pavement and base failure reconstructions; pavement resurfacing and re-profiling; traffic striping where necessary; wheel chair ramps will be installed at curb returns and temporary asphalt sidewalk installed in accordance with the RTC policy on sidewalk installation. Total cost of this project shall not exceed \$1,714,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Contract

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to file a Right-of-Way Grant with the Bureau of Land Management for road, sewer and drainage purposes on portions of land lying within the Northeast Quarter (NE 1/4) of Section 18, Township 19 South, Range 60 East, M.D.M., generally located on the south side of Gilcrease Avenue between the Grand Canyon Drive alignment and the Tee Pee Lane alignment - APN 125-18-601-001 - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Work/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Contract Modification #1 with Southern Nevada Paving to fund added improvements on the Buffalo Drive Roadway Improvements project (\$75,000 - Regional Transportation Commission) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$75,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This contract modification is for the cost to fund the redesigned and additional improvements on the north side of Buffalo Drive after the south side was completed by private developers. In addition, temporary paving also had to be removed.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Contract Modification #1

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from Baughman and Turner, Incorporated, on behalf of 11th Street Limited Partnership, owner (Maryland Parkway north of Stewart Avenue) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Work/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment consists of an approximate 8' wide area of landscaping on the west side of Maryland Parkway beginning approximately 144' north of Stewart Avenue and extending approximately 335' northward consisting of decomposed granite to satisfy a condition of Z-0013-02(1) for the proposed 11th Street Senior Apartments. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement, which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A" (northwest corner of Maryland Parkway and Stewart Avenue)

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from BHP, LLC, owner (Lake Mead Boulevard west of Rancho Drive) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment consists of an approximate 0' to 8' wide area of landscaping on the south side of Lake Mead Boulevard extending approximately 285' adjacent to the property line consisting of decomposed granite for the proposed Rancho Lake Apartments. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A" (Lake Mead Boulevard west of Rancho Drive)

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from VTN Nevada on behalf of KB Home Nevada, Incorporated, owner (Alexander Road west of Vegas Vista Trail) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment consists of an approximate 5' wide area of landscaping on the north side of Alexander Road extending approximately 241' along the property line consisting of decomposed granite to satisfy a condition of TM-0035-02 for the proposed Lone Mountain Ridges Unit 6 subdivision. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A" (Alexander Road)
2. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "B" (vicinity map and typical half street section of Alexander Road)

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from Signs West, Incorporated, on behalf of Jillian's Entertainment Corporation, owner (northwest corner of Las Vegas Boulevard and Fremont Street) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment consists of a 25' tall 4' wide sign attached to the southeast corner of Neonopolis displaying the name Jillian's. The sign will hang approximately 12' above the Las Vegas Boulevard sidewalk extending approximately 3' into the airspace. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A" (proposed "Jillian's" blade sign rendition)
2. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "B" (vicinity map of Las Vegas Boulevard and Fremont Street)
3. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "C" (plan of encroachment at Las Vegas Boulevard and Fremont Street)
4. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "D" (face and side elevations of proposed sign)

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from KJE Consulting Engineers, Incorporated, on behalf of Coronado Bay Sahara, LLC, owner (Sahara Avenue west of Buffalo Drive) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment consists of a 9.5' wide area of landscaping on the north side of Sahara Avenue extending approximately 333' along the property line and a 5' wide area of landscaping on the south side of Via Olivero Avenue extending approximately 333' along the property line consisting of trees, shrubs, flowers, decomposed granite, and an irrigation system to satisfy a condition of Z-0038-02(1) for the proposed Coronado Bay Office Complex. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A" (Sahara Avenue)
2. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "B" (Via Olivero Avenue)

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from R. Dean Bryan on behalf of Robert M. Draskovich, Junior, owner (815 South Casino Center Boulevard) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment consists of palm trees and a drip irrigation system with two 5' by 10' tree grates on the east side of Casino Center Boulevard adjacent to the property line to satisfy a condition of Z-100-64(181) and to meet requirements of the Downtown Centennial Plan for the proposed Casino Center Office Building. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A" (Casino Center Boulevard north of Hoover Avenue)

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: Finance and Business Services

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-110-2002 - Approval of a resolution directing the City Treasurer to give notice of the sale of properties subject to the lien of a delinquent assessment in District 505 and in certain other districts; and providing other matters properly relating thereto - District 505/Ward 6 (Mack) and other districts/various wards

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

NRS 271.545 states that when an assessment or installment becomes delinquent, the City shall direct the City Treasurer to give notice of the sale of the property or properties subject to the lien of a delinquent installment or the entire assessment if the governing body has exercised its option to cause the whole amount of the unpaid principal to become due and payable.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-110-2002

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of a Landlord Estoppel Certificate and Agreement between the City of Las Vegas as Landlord, Gemini, Inc. as current Tenant agreeing to approve assignment to Steadfast Plaza, L.P. and Steadfast Creekside, L.P. for property located at 333 East Ogden Avenue commonly known as the Ogden Parking Garage - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Council previously approved an Assignment & Assumption Agreement between CLV and Lady Luck Gaming Corp. assigning interest to Gemini, Inc. on 8/16/00. Lady Luck is now being purchased by Steadfast Plaza, L.P. and Steadfast Creekside, L.P. The Landlord Estoppel Certificate and Agreement will bind Steadfast Plaza, L.P. and Steadfast Creekside, L.P. to all terms previously held by Gemini.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 10/14/2002 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Landlord Estoppel Certificate and Agreement

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED Items 3-10 and 12-38 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and MACK abstaining on Item 27 because it will have an immediate impact on land owned by Joseph Scala, with whom he has a business relationship

Item 11: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY commented that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed the Real Estate Item on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that it be approved by the City Council.

There was no further discussion.

(9:30 – 9:32)

1-805

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE**DIRECTOR: DOUG SELBY**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****ADMINISTRATIVE:**

Report from the City Manager on emerging issues

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City Manager (CM) Report will be a vehicle for the City Manager to update the Council on emerging issues that may have an impact on the City of Las Vegas. The CM Report will be a recurring item for every Council meeting. If there are no items for the particular meeting, the City Manager will recommend that the item be stricken.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only, no action required.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:**None required. A report was given.****MINUTES:**

CITY MANAGER SELBY reported on two matters. First, the Clark County Sport Shooting Advisory Committee recently held its first two meetings. This committee, which was appointed by the County Commission, is comprised of sport shooting enthusiasts, elected officials, law enforcement personnel, and local government representatives, including the City of Las Vegas. The Committee members will be meeting over the next six months. They were charged with making recommendations to the County Commissioners for the design, development, and operation of a sport-shooting park. The park will generally be located at the northwest corner of Moccasin and Decatur on 2800 acres of land that is being made available through legislation sponsored by Nevada federal delegates.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002

Administrative

Item 39 – Report from the City Manager on emerging issues

MINUTES – Continued:

City staff is looking forward to working with this Committee, as the parcel is immediately adjacent to the City limits. He vowed to keep the Council updated on the progress of the Committee.

CITY MANAGER SELBY then announced the opening of the Durango Hills Golf Course on November 2, 2002. This is a project that the City has been working on for several years. It is going to be a real gem in the City's recreational inventory. He invited MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, to come forward, along with representatives of the golf course operator, IRI.

MR. VINCENT introduced NICK SPEIGEL and SCOTT SUTTON from IRI Golf/Durango Hills, LLC. MR. VINCENT explained that MR. SPEIGEL was hired out of San Diego to be the General Manager of the operation. MR. SUTTON was recruited locally to be the Course Superintendent.

COUNCILMAN BROWN said that this is a very unique situation, wherein the City is partnering with a private sector operator for the Golf Course. He indicated that the Golf Course would be opening up to the general public on November 2, 2002. The Course will have special rates for senior citizens and youth from Monday through Thursday. A State of Nevada rate will be in effect on the weekends, and there will be an out-of-State rate that will be not too much higher than the normal rate so that tourists are not burdened with high costs, especially since many feel that a lot of the out-of-State players will come through friends and family. The rates will be very competitive.

COUNCILMAN BROWN then clarified that the course will be very affordable yet not a cheap course and will be a true amenity to the surrounding neighborhoods. He then described some of the other amenities adjacent to the golf course, a community center, and a ten-acre neighborhood park that should be opening on November 9, 2002.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE interjected that the City will be holding a grand opening ceremony on October 23, 2002, at 5:00 p.m. for the East Las Vegas Community Senior Center at Eastern and Stewart. He invited his fellow Council members, as well as the community, to attend the celebration.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed the following: 1) That CITY MANAGER SELBY look into possible solutions to address the problem of pole signs, such as the pooper scooper signs, that are being posted all over the Valley, in particular at Charleston and Decatur. The City has

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002

Administrative

Item 39 – Report from the City Manager on emerging issues

MINUTES – Continued:

tried several measures, but nothing is working. He suggested possibly calling the numbers for service and then citing whoever shows up. 2) That CITY MANAGER SELBY readdress the problem of panhandling in the middle of the streets. The agreement the City Attorney set up prohibiting acceptance and solicitation of money is not working. There are seniors and children that are going to get hurt. He knows that caution must be used because of the firefighters' boot drives, but something has to be done. 3) That CITY MANAGER SELBY look into sports groups that are playing at All American Park, formerly known as Buffalo Park, by the County while their fields are being seeded. This is becoming a real problem, and there have been many confrontations. The City looks like the bad people because they are having to ask people to leave the park which was built strictly for leagues to play, so that the parks could be kept up. 4) That CITY MANAGER SELBY place an item on the next agenda regarding the creation of a Southern Nevada Disability Task Force to address the improvement/development of sidewalks for disabled persons. He suggested that CITY MANAGER SELBY confer with individuals at the County and City that know about grant writing, as well as perhaps get in touch with Virginia Quinn who has dealt with this type of issue.

COUNCILMAN BROWN directed CITY MANAGER SELBY to place an item on the next agenda to discuss the informal proposal made by the High-Tech Park Association to sell the two parks within the High-Tech area in order to use the revenues from that sale for infrastructure improvements along Tenaya Way, egress/ingress improvements within the corridor, and improvements to Doc Romeo Park.

There was no further discussion.

(9:35 – 9:48)

1-970

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER

DIRECTOR: DOUGLAS SELBY

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Report from the Southern Nevada Water Authority on their proposal to acquire Nevada Power Company

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Southern Nevada Water Authority has made an offer to Sierra Pacific Resources to acquire Nevada Power Company, which is their subsidiary providing retail electric power service to Southern Nevada. The Water Authority will provide a presentation to the City Council on their acquisition proposal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only; no action required.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Submitted at the meeting: hard copy of PowerPoint presentation

MOTION:

None required. A report was given.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN disclosed that he worked for the Southern Nevada Water Authority for seven years.

NOTE: MAYOR PRO TEM REESE disclosed that his daughter works for Nevada Power Company.

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

DICK WIMMER, Deputy General Manager, Southern Nevada Water Authority

LYLE MILLER, Managing Director, Morgan Stanley Investment Banking Division

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002

Administrative

Item 40 – Report from the Southern Nevada Water Authority on their proposal to acquire Nevada Power Company

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: COUNCILMEMBERS M. McDONALD and L.B. McDONALD stressed that Nevada Power should also be given the opportunity to appear before the City Council on this matter. COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD insisted that the City has a responsibility to make sure that the citizens receive as much information as possible.

(9:48 – 10:44/11:14 – 11:16)

1-1450/2-1326

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES****DIRECTOR: NIEL PEZZILLO**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Report from the Chronic Public Inebriate (CPI) Task Force on Emergency Room Overcrowding

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The CPI Task Force along with the Southern Nevada Mental Health Coalition has met several times this past year to address the problems associated with emergency room overcrowding and the divert situations that have been adversely affecting our community. During this time, the coalition drafted a plan of action, authored bill draft requests for the upcoming legislative session, and proposed the creation of a Crisis Triage Center (CTC) that would allow police, fire and paramedics to drop off individuals in crisis and quickly return to service. Local governments, area hospitals and the State would share the cost of the CTC, based on several factors including population, the total number of individuals accessing emergency rooms, and existing funding sources. The City of Las Vegas' contribution, if approved would be \$433,000. The CPI Task Force will present the funding proposal to the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition on October 24, 2002.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only, no action required.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Crisis Overview
3. List of Participating Agencies
4. Recommended Strategies
5. Draft Plan of Action
6. Statistical Information
7. Proposed Funding Formula – Crisis Triage Center

Submitted at the meeting: Proposed Funding Formula dated 10/10/2002, document titled Psychiatric Tracking Totals, and hard copy of PowerPoint

MOTION:**None required. A report was given.**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002

Administrative Services

Item 41 – Report from the Chronic Public Inebriate (CPI) Task Force on Emergency Room Overcrowding

MINUTES:

DEPUTY CITY MANAGER FRETWELL indicated that KATHRYN LANDRETH, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, was present to provide a general overview of this item, as well as MR. STEINBERG from WestCare to speak about a proposal that is on the table. DEPUTY CITY MANAGER FRETWELL commented that about a year and a half ago COUNCILMAN BROWN and the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition began establishing a work group to discuss some of the issues of chronic inebriates and the mentally ill, and their impact on homelessness and other areas of the community. For the last 18 months, this group has been working on potential solutions.

DEPUTY CITY MANAGER FRETWELL mentioned that COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD requested this presentation. A presentation is also scheduled to be given at the Regional Planning Coalition Board meeting the following Thursday for action.

MS. LANDRETH introduced JANELLE KRAFT, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, who has been involved in the CPI Task Force. MS. KRAFT thanked COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD for the invitation to speak before the City Council. She indicated that about two years ago COUNCILMAN BROWN approached the Office of Administrative Services about working with a group of medical professionals who had formed the CPI Task Force in order to resolve the emergency overcrowding situation and the divert crisis that was seriously impacting the Las Vegas community. What had been an occasional or seasonal problem had turned into a daily burden on the Valley's emergency medical responders and caused delays in emergency rooms.

MS. KRAFT remarked that when she joined the CPI Task Force, the hospitals had already hired an expert consultant, who had conducted a review of hospital operations and had made several process improvements. The consultant also identified the most significant factor to be the growing number of inebriates and mentally ill who were being housed in emergency rooms for long periods of time waiting to sober up or to be transferred to one of the few available beds for mental health treatment. Local and State regulations require that these people be taken to the hospital for medical clearance prior to receiving treatment. The lack of facilities resulted in these people being strapped to gurneys for days waiting to be transported.

Most of these individuals are indigent and many of them also have a mental illness and substance abuse disease. They are released without ever receiving any treatment or follow-up care. So they are continually recycled through the health care system and the detention systems at a tremendous cost to taxpayers.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002

Administrative Services

Item 41 – Report from the Chronic Public Inebriate (CPI) Task Force on Emergency Room Overcrowding

MINUTES - Continued:

Through meetings with the individual governmental entities, many statistics were gathered and the Task Force grew to over 35 participants with an expertise in emergency care, public safety, and treatment. The Task Force then developed an action plan and a list of recommendations, of which many have been completed. Some of those recommendations will require State legislative changes and increased State funding for mental health care. The Task Force will continue to pursue those. By-partisan support has been obtained for the Task Force's bill drafts. But during testimony and conversations with legislators, they have asked what the local governments intend to do to help solve this problem that is actually impacting local services. The Task Force hopes to show the willingness of the cities and the counties to come together to solve the problems.

The Task Force is requesting a bill draft to change State regulations to allow the mentally ill to be transported in the same fashion as the Clark County Health District, who was granted a variance to allow paramedics, police, and fire to transport inebriated individuals directly to treatment facilities instead of going to the hospital for medical clearance.

When the Task Force merged with the Sheriff's Southern Nevada Mental Health Coalition, which MS. LANDRETH chairs, they researched, studied, brainstormed, and looked at other comparable cities to find out what they were doing. It became evident that without a crisis triage center in place this problem could not be remedied.

With absolutely no hope of identifying enough funding to secure a site and build a facility, WestCare came forward and offered its Martin Luther King facility to serve as a crisis triage center. Although it is not the ideal location, with some capital improvements and the addition of a medical screening component, this facility could provide a central location close to UMC, the homeless corridor, Valley Hospital, and potentially the future academic medical center. At this facility patients could be stabilized, detoxed, evaluated, and transitioned into the appropriate level of treatment. Emergency medical responders could then return to service quickly, beds would be available for those in need of emergency care, and the police would have an alternative to transporting people to jail for someone in a crisis situation.

WestCare has estimated the cost of operating a triage facility to be approximately \$3.8 million. The Task Force is proposing that the funding be shared three ways: one-third with State and local monies and grants, one-third from the area hospitals, and one-third to come from the County and the various local municipalities. The Task Force will be presenting the funding formula on October 24, 2002, at the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition meeting. Hopefully it will be approved, and then be forwarded to the various boards and commissions. Based on certified population numbers that are used for the distribution of consolidated taxes, the City's share would come to approximately \$433,000.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002

Administrative Services

Item 41 – Report from the Chronic Public Inebriate (CPI) Task Force on Emergency Room Overcrowding

MINUTES - Continued:

MS. LANDRETH reported that since she met with the individual Council members, very conservative yet compelling estimates have been developed of what it is costing the taxpayer for wasted services in the sense that money is being spent to warehouse seriously mentally ill people without giving them appropriate treatment.

After reviewing the PowerPoint presentation, which is made a part of the Final Minutes, and detailing the costs of servicing inebriates, most of which are not reimbursable, MS. LANDRETH went over the three initial recommendations that the Task Force is very excited about: 1) SHERIFF JERRY KELLER has committed to training 42 police officers for 40 hours of intensive training in how to identify and respond to people who are in acute crisis and on how to recognize mental illness and how to determine when additional assistance is needed from someone that can provide more advanced services. 2) A volunteer jail aftercare program has been established at the Clark County Detention Center for inmates. This program will allow inmates to sign up for expedited mental health services from community resources on the outside. 3) The support of a mental health court that will also encourage people who have come into contact with the criminal justice system because of mental illness to sign up for mental health court and receive the kind of treatment that they would receive in drug court.

The three aforementioned recommendations do not cost anything. However, the big element for which funding is necessary is the crisis triage center. MS. LANDRETH noted that she has spent more than one year studying this problem, and she has yet to find another urban community that does not have a psychiatric emergency facility where people can be dropped off by police officers, EMTs, or family members when they are in a crisis. She also pointed out that any other measures that are taken in attempting to resolve this problem will fail without a crisis triage center. The center is very important from the standpoint that police officers, EMTs, and family members need to have a place to deal with people who are in crisis. Forty hours of training to police officers are useless if they have no place to take people, and this community cannot afford for a well trained police officer to sit in hospital emergency rooms for as much as eight hours to handoff a mentally ill person to a hospital attendant. She stressed again that a crisis triage center is essential to the success of all the other measures.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD explained that she had requested the matter be presented before the City Council after she received the same presentation as a member of the Jail Commission. She found it to be one of the most excellent presentations she has witnessed. She encouraged everyone on the City Council and elected officials at all levels of government to join in addressing this issue, because it is costing every taxpayer a substantial amount of money. If the current path continues, a lot more money will be expended than if the proper steps are taken. She noted that mental health and crisis issues cross all ethnic backgrounds.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002

Administrative Services

Item 41 – Report from the Chronic Public Inebriate (CPI) Task Force on Emergency Room Overcrowding

MINUTES - Continued:

Lastly, COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD commended MS. LANDRETH and MS. KRAFT for bringing this issue to the Council's attention, and reiterated that all officials must work together to make sure to identify resources that will save millions of dollars in the end.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY gave thanks to MS. LANDRETH and MS. KRAFT for the presentation. He agreed that this is a very grave problem that needs desperate measures. However, he expressed concern about the possibility of placing the crisis triage center in Ward 5, because he has received many calls from his constituents and business owners who do not understand what type of impact a triage center would make. There are a lot of questions and concerns floating around, and it may be very easy for people outside Ward 5 to say how wonderful a triage center would be because they would not have to deal with it being in their Ward.

Therefore, it is very important to him that a meeting be set up where his constituents can be given the same presentation and they can receive answers to all the questions they might have so that they can feel comfortable with this project.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE said that he enjoyed listening to the report. He remarked that he has been asking for help for inebriates in his Ward for the past eight years. In his opinion, the County, State, and Federal governments should take more responsibility in the funding process. Also, he is concerned about the potential location of the crisis triage center. Lastly, he offered any assistance necessary.

(10:44 – 11:14)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Mandela Marie Aliano, 3305 Beachport Drive, Las Vegas, NV 89117

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant
Submitted after Final Agenda – Request to withdraw from Appellant

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – Motion to bring forward and ACCEPT WITHDRAWAL of Items 42 and 43 and STRIKE Item 46 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:29 – 9:30)

1-773

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: David S. Manes, 320 South 1st Street, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – Motion to bring forward and ACCEPT WITHDRAWAL of Items 42 and 43 and STRIKE Item 46 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting it was determined that this matter was withdrawn in error and would be placed on the 11/6/2002 agenda.

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:29 – 9:30)

1-773

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Complaint seeking disciplinary action against Abraham Ogbamichael and Mohamed Nagi Obeid al Dhali d/b/a Kings Market, 2333 North Martin Luther King Boulevard, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, for violations of Title 6 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Abraham Ogbamichael and Mohamed Nagi Obeid al Dhali d/b/a Kings Market, 2333 North Martin Luther King Boulevard, hold Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-Sale License No. L10-00009-4-000034. The Complaint for Disciplinary Action submitted alleges that between February 2000 and August 2002 Abraham Ogbamichael was cited for and pled nolo contendere to 15 misdemeanors including maintaining an attractive nuisance, not having a work card, not displaying an alcohol beverage license, furnishing liquor to a minor, and not maintaining an employee list. Between 1997 and 2002 Kings Market generated 369 calls for service to the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. These included two Murders With Use of a Deadly Weapon, Robberies With Use of a Deadly Weapon, Batteries With Use of a Deadly Weapon, cases of Discharging a Firearm Into an Occupied Structure, and a Battery on a Police Officer.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council issue the Complaint for Disciplinary Action and set a date and time certain for hearing no later than 60 days from the date of approval of the Complaint.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Proposed Complaint for Disciplinary Action

Submitted at the meeting: Original Complaint for Disciplinary Action and four original Affidavits of Mailing by Sr. Litigation Counsel Bill Henry and letter dated 10/15/2002 from Attorney Benson Lee addressed to Mr. Henry

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Motion to APPROVE issuing the complaint, thereby setting the public hearing for 11/6/2002 at 9:00 a.m. – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002

City Attorney

Item 44 - Discussion and possible action regarding Complaint seeking disciplinary action against Abraham Ogbamichael and Mohamed Nagi Obeid al Dhali d/b/a Kings Market, 2333 North Martin Luther King Boulevard, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, for violations of Title 6 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

BILL HENRY, Sr. Litigation Counsel

DAN CONTRERAS, Bonanza Village resident

BENSON LEE, Attorney for the applicants who were also present

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

WILLIAM McCURDY, 17 Hart Avenue

BEATRICE TURNER, West Las Vegas resident

DELRAE RHODES

NOTE: MAYOR PRO TEM REESE directed CITY CLERK RONEMUS to include the record on this matter in the 11/6/2002 backup.

(11:45 – 12:05)

2-2630/3-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: DETENTION AND ENFORCEMENT**DIRECTOR: MICHAEL SHELDON**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action to amend the Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and The Animal Foundation International (\$90,600 - General Fund) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount: \$90,600**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☒**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: General Fund****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Reflects adjustments to increase operational costs, allowing the Foundation to adjust certain fees not set by ordinance. This will be the first increase to cover such costs during our 7-year association with the Foundation. This figure includes a one-time 10% retroactive increase and will allow for future increases based upon CPI that will not be less than 3% nor more than 7% annually. In addition, an alternative to posting a \$200,000 Faithful Performance Bond entitles the City to deduct \$300,000 from the purchase of the new animal shelter in the event of default.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Third Amendment to Animal Care and Shelter Services Agreement

Submitted at the meeting: folder containing a four-page Profit and Loss by Class packet with attached memorandum from Joy Salmon, seven newspaper articles, and an animal advocacy sticker by Madeline Dayton

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD disclosed that he serves with MS. GREENSPUN on the Las Vegas Spring Preserve Committee, but that would not have any bearing on his ability to participate and vote on this matter.

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002

Detention and Enforcement

Item 45 – Discussion and possible action to amend the Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and The Animal Foundation International

MINUTES – Continued:

APPEARANCES:

MIKE SHELDON, Director, Detention and Enforcement

JANIE GAIL GREENSPUN, Animal Foundation

MARK FIERO, 801 S. 6th Street, Animal Foundation Public Information Officer

TODD FARLOW, 240 N. 19th Street

DOUG DUKE, President, Nevada Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals

JUDITH RUISM, President, Las Vegas Family Humane Society

MADELINE DAYTON, animal lover

ANN HERRINGTON, President and Founder of Media Partners for Pets

LESLIE PALMS, animal communicator

JENNY HICKMAN, animal lover

TINA TRENER, founding member of the Animal Foundation

(12:05 – 12:44)

3-48

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action regarding a new Tavern Liquor License, GMN Associates, LLC, dba GMN Associates, LLC, 2828 Highland Drive, Domenick J. Cilea, Mgr, Mmbr, 100%, William F. Luddecke, Lender - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Tavern Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be provided after discussion of this item at the City Council meeting

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – Motion to bring forward and ACCEPT WITHDRAWAL of Items 42 and 43 and STRIKE Item 46 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:29 – 9:30)

1-773

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning codes, Araceli Garcia-Acosta, dba El Malecon Mexican Restaurant, 2407 South Eastern Ave., Araceli Garcia-Acosta, 100%, (**NOTE: Item to be heard in the afternoon session in conjunction with Item #123 - Special Use Permit #U-0105-02) - Ward 3 (Reese)**)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

ARACELI GARCIA ACOSTA, 4236 Fairfax Circle, #1, was present.

There was no further discussion.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 123 [U-0105-02] and Item 47 [Beer/Wine/Cooler On-Sale Liquor License, Araceli Garcia-Acosta, El Malecon Mexican Restaurant] was held under Item 123 [U-0105-02].

(3:45 – 3:47)

5-3640

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding a One Year Review of a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, Delian Lamela, dba Cuba Cafe, 552 North Eastern Ave., Suite A, Delia A. Lamela and Osmel R. Lamela, 100% jointly as husband and wife - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding a One Year Review of a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval without further review

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that although his brother Steven Mack owns a SuperPawn shop near this location, he would be voting, as he has not discussed the matter with this brother and he does not feel it would have any impact on this brother's business.

MINUTES:

The applicants were present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, was present.

STACY RODD, Detective, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, said that there are no problems to report.

There was no further discussion.

(12:48 – 12:51)

3-1369

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Package Liquor License subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, From: Raley's, a California Corporation, dba Raley's Store #135, William J. Coyne, Dir, Pres, COO, Secy, William W. Anderson, Treas, CFO, Joyce N. Raley Teel, Dir, Co-COB, James E. Teel, Dir, Co-COB, Charles L. Collings, Dir, James L. Baska, Dir, Jerry E. Cook, Dir, Teel Family Trust, 100%, James E. Teel, Trustor, Trustee, Joyce N. Teel, Trustor, Trustee, To: Smith's Food & Drug Centers, Inc., dba Smith's Food & Drug Center #372, 1421 North Jones Blvd., James W. Hallsey, Pres, Paul W. Heldman, Dir, Secy, Kyle S. McKay, VP, Asst Secy - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Package Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations with authority for Director or Designee to issue a permanent license upon receipt of a favorable police report

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Peggy Eder

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED the temporary license for no longer than six months, with authority being granted to MR. DiFIORE to grant final approval of the license should the FTC review come back with no areas of concern, and subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Department regulations – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

PEGGY EDER, Nevada Gaming Application Consultants, appeared on behalf of KYLE McKAY, Smith's Food and Drug Centers, Inc., who was also present.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002

Finance and Business Services

Item 49 - Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Package Liquor License subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, From: Raley's, a California Corporation, dba Raley's Store #135, William J. Coyne, Dir, Pres, COO, Secy, William W. Anderson, Treas, CFO, Joyce N. Raley Teel, Dir, Co-COB, James E. Teel, Dir, Co-COB, Charles L. Collings, Dir, James L. Baska, Dir, Jerry E. Cook, Dir, Teel Family Trust, 100%, James E. Teel, Trustor, Trustee, Joyce N. Teel, Trustor, Trustee, To: Smith's Food & Drug Centers, Inc., dba Smith's Food & Drug Center #372, 1421 North Jones Blvd., James W. Hallsey, Pres, Paul W. Heldman, Dir, Secy, Kyle S. McKay, VP, Asst Secy

MINUTES – Continued:

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, recommended temporary approval of the license for no longer than six months, with authority being granted to him to grant final approval of the license, should the FTC review come back with no areas of concern.

There was no further discussion.

(12:46 – 12:49)

3-1410

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES****DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Package Liquor License subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, From: Raley's, a California Corporation, dba Raley's Store #136, William J. Coyne, Dir, Pres, COO, Secy, William W. Anderson, Treas, CFO, Joyce N. Raley Teel, Dir, Co-COB, James E. Teel, Dir, Co-COB, Charles L. Collings, Dir, James L. Baska, Dir, Jerry E. Cook, Dir, Teel Family Trust, 100%, James E. Teel, Trustor, Trustee, Joyce N. Teel, Trustor, Trustee, To: Smith's Food & Drug Centers, Inc., dba Smith's Food & Drug Center #370, 3160 North Rainbow Blvd., James W. Hallsey, Pres, Paul W. Heldman, Dir, Secy, Kyle S. McKay, VP, Asst Secy - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Package Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations with authority for Director or Designee to issue a permanent license upon receipt of a favorable police report

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Peggy Eder

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED the temporary license for no longer than six months, with authority being granted to MR. DiFIORE to grant final approval of the license should the FTC review come back with no areas of concern, and subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Department regulations – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

PEGGY EDER, Nevada Gaming Application Consultants, appeared on behalf of KYLE McKAY, Smith's Food and Drug Centers, Inc., who was also present.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002

Finance and Business Services

Item 50 - Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Package Liquor License subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, From: Raley's, a California Corporation, dba Raley's Store #136, William J. Coyne, Dir, Pres, COO, Secy, William W. Anderson, Treas, CFO, Joyce N. Raley Teel, Dir, Co-COB, James E. Teel, Dir, Co-COB, Charles L. Collings, Dir, James L. Baska, Dir, Jerry E. Cook, Dir, Teel Family Trust, 100%, James E. Teel, Trustor, Trustee, Joyce N. Teel, Trustor, Trustee, To: Smith's Food & Drug Centers, Inc., dba Smith's Food & Drug Center #370, 3160 North Rainbow Blvd., James W. Hallsey, Pres, Paul W. Heldman, Dir, Secy, Kyle S. McKay, VP, Asst Secy

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: See Item 49 for all discussion on this matter.

There was no further discussion.

(12:49)

3-1470

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES****DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Package Liquor License subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, From: Raley's, a California Corporation, dba Raley's Store #139, William J. Coyne, Dir, Pres, COO, Secy, William W. Anderson, Treas, CFO, Joyce N. Raley Teel, Dir, Co-COB, James E. Teel, Dir, Co-COB, Charles L. Collings, Dir, James L. Baska, Dir, Jerry E. Cook, Dir, Teel Family Trust, 100%, James E. Teel, Trustor, Trustee, Joyce N. Teel, Trustor, Trustee, To: Smith's Food & Drug Centers, Inc., dba Smith's Food & Drug Center #373, 9200 West Sahara Ave., James W. Hallsey, Pres, Paul W. Heldman, Dir, Secy, Kyle S. McKay, VP, Asst Secy - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Package Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations with authority for Director or Designee to issue a permanent license upon receipt of a favorable police report

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Peggy Eder

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED the temporary license for no longer than six months, with authority being granted to MR. DiFIORE to grant final approval of the license should the FTC review come back with no areas of concern, and subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Department regulations – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

PEGGY EDER, Nevada Gaming Application Consultants, appeared on behalf of KYLE McKAY, Smith's Food and Drug Centers, Inc., who was also present.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002

Finance and Business Services

Item 51 - Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Package Liquor License subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, From: Raley's, a California Corporation, dba Raley's Store #139, William J. Coyne, Dir, Pres, COO, Secy, William W. Anderson, Treas, CFO, Joyce N. Raley Teel, Dir, Co-COB, James E. Teel, Dir, Co-COB, Charles L. Collings, Dir, James L. Baska, Dir, Jerry E. Cook, Dir, Teel Family Trust, 100%, James E. Teel, Trustor, Trustee, Joyce N. Teel, Trustor, Trustee, To: Smith's Food & Drug Centers, Inc., dba Smith's Food & Drug Center #373, 9200 West Sahara Ave., James W. Hallsey, Pres, Paul W. Heldman, Dir, Secy, Kyle S. McKay, VP, Asst Secy

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: See Item 49 for all discussion on this matter.

There was no further discussion.

(12:49 – 12:50

3-1478

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Package Liquor License subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, From: Raley's, a California Corporation, dba Raley's Store #141, William J. Coyne, Dir, Pres, COO, Secy, William W. Anderson, Treas, CFO, Joyce N. Raley Teel, Dir, Co-COB, James E. Teel, Dir, Co-COB, Charles L. Collings, Dir, James L. Baska, Dir, Jerry E. Cook, Dir, Teel Family Trust, 100%, James E. Teel, Trustor, Trustee, Joyce N. Teel, Trustor, Trustee, To: Smith's Food & Drug Centers, Inc., dba Smith's Food & Drug Center #382, 4821 West Craig Road, James W. Hallsey, Pres, Paul W. Heldman, Dir, Secy, Kyle S. McKay, VP, Asst Secy - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Package Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations with authority for Director or Designee to issue a permanent license upon receipt of a favorable police report

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Peggy Eder

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED the temporary license for no longer than six months, with authority being granted to MR. DiFIORE to grant final approval of the license should the FTC review come back with no areas of concern, and subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Department regulations – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

PEGGY EDER, Nevada Gaming Application Consultants, appeared on behalf of KYLE McKAY, Smith's Food and Drug Centers, Inc., who was also present.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002

Finance and Business Services

Item 52- Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Package Liquor License subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, From: Raley's, a California Corporation, dba Raley's Store #141, William J. Coyne, Dir, Pres, COO, Secy, William W. Anderson, Treas, CFO, Joyce N. Raley Teel, Dir, Co-COB, James E. Teel, Dir, Co-COB, Charles L. Collings, Dir, James L. Baska, Dir, Jerry E. Cook, Dir, Teel Family Trust, 100%, James E. Teel, Trustor, Trustee, Joyce N. Teel, Trustor, Trustee, To: Smith's Food & Drug Centers, Inc., dba Smith's Food & Drug Center #382, 4821 West Craig Road, James W. Hallsey, Pres, Paul W. Heldman, Dir, Secy, Kyle S. McKay, VP, Asst Secy

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: See Item 49 for all discussion on this matter.

There was no further discussion.

(12:50)

3-1485

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES****DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and Temporary Approval of a new Non-restricted Limited Gaming License for 35 slots subject to the provisions of the fire codes, Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: Sahara Enterprises, Inc., dba Roadrunner Saloon Sahara, Michael F. Corrigan, Dir, Pres, 33.33%, Sean P. Corrigan, Dir, Treas, 33.33%, Adam B. Corrigan, Dir, Secy, 33.33%, To: Nevada Restaurant Services, Inc., dba Dotty's Casino, 2839 West Sahara Ave., Richard C. Estey, Dir, Pres, 80%, Kathrine L. Estey, Dir, Secy, Treas, 20% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and Temporary Approval of a new Non-restricted Limited Gaming License for 35 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes, Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission with authority for Director or Designee to issue a permanent license upon receipt of a favorable police report

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Sonia Church Vermeys

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED, subject to the Nevada Gaming Commission's approval of the gaming license and giving the manager of Business Services the authority to issue the permanent license after all the conditions have been met – **UNANIMOUS** with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

ATTORNEY SONIA VERMEYS, Schreck, Brignone, and Godfrey, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002

Finance and Business Services

Item 53 - Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and Temporary Approval of a new Non-restricted Limited Gaming License for 35 slots subject to the provisions of the fire codes, Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: Sahara Enterprises, Inc., dba Roadrunner Saloon Sahara, Michael F. Corrigan, Dir, Pres, 33.33%, Sean P. Corrigan, Dir, Treas, 33.33%, Adam B. Corrigan, Dir, Secy, 33.33%, To: Nevada Restaurant Services, Inc., dba Dotty's Casino, 2839 West Sahara Ave., Richard C. Estey, Dir, Pres, 80%, Kathrine L. Estey, Dir, Secy, Treas, 20%

MINUTES – Continued:

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, recommended approval subject to the Nevada Gaming Commission's approval of the gaming license and giving the manager of Business Services the authority to issue the permanent license after all the conditions have been met.

See Item 54 for related discussion.

(12:50 – 12:52)

3-1574

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership, Location and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and Temporary Approval of a New Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to the provisions of the fire codes, Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: Van D. Nguyen, dba Mayfair Liquor, 1201 Stewart Ave., Suite B (Non-operational), Van D. Nguyen, 100%, To: Nevada Restaurant Services, Inc., dba Dotty's, 7950 West Tropical Parkway, Suite 110, Richard C. Estey, Dir, Pres, 80%, Kathrine L. Estey, Dir, Secy, Treas, 20% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership, Location and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and Temporary Approval of a New Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes, Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission with authority for Director or Designee to issue a permanent license upon receipt of a favorable police report

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Sonia Church Vermeys
3. Map

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED, subject to the Nevada Gaming Commission's approval of the gaming license and giving the manager of Business Services the authority to issue the permanent license after all the conditions have been met – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

ATTORNEY SONIA VERMEYS, Schreck, Brignone, and Godfrey, appeared on behalf of the applicant. She appreciated staff being able to facilitate temporary approval on both Items 53 and 54.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002

Finance and Business Services

Item 54 - Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership, Location and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and Temporary Approval of a New Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to the provisions of the fire codes, Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: Van D. Nguyen, dba Mayfair Liquor, 1201 Stewart Ave., Suite B (Non-operational), Van D. Nguyen, 100%, To: Nevada Restaurant Services, Inc., dba Dotty's, 7950 West Tropical Parkway, Suite 110, Richard C. Estey, Dir, Pres, 80%, Kathrine L. Estey, Dir, Secy, Treas, 20%

MINUTES – Continued:

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, made the same recommendation as for Item 53.

See Item 53 for related discussion.

(12:52 – 12:53)

3-1638

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Psychic Art & Science License, Marisol C. Sanchez, dba Lectura de Cartas Cecilia, 4530 East Charleston Blvd., Booth B10, Marisol C. Sanchez, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Psychic Art & Science License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be provided following discussion of this item at the City Council meeting

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended, subject to a six-month review and to the applicant giving notice of any arrests or citations within 48 hours to Business Services – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

The applicant was present, accompanied by her friend, MARIA MOLINA, who translated.

STACY RODD, Detective, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, indicated that MS. SANCHEZ had an incident of moral turpitude in 1991. In 1992 the matter was resolved in court.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, recommended that MS. SANCHEZ be granted a license, subject to a six-month review and with the stipulation that any arrests or citations be reported to Business Services within 48 hours.

COUNCILMAN REESE confirmed with the MS. SANCHEZ that she fully understood the conditions stipulated.

There was no further discussion.

(12:53 – 12:55)

3-1683

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Package Liquor License subject to Health Dept. regulations, From: Van Lee, dba X. O. Liquor, Van Lee, 100%, To: Allan Tran, dba 2000 X O Liquor, 1616 Las Vegas Blvd., South, Allan Tran, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Package Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be provided following discussion of this item at the City Council meeting

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Allan Tran

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – STRIKE – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services

STACY RODD, Detective, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department

ALLAN TRAN

(12:55 – 1:07/1:15 – 1:16)

3-1779/3-2647

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: LEISURE SERVICES

DIRECTOR: DR. BARBARA P. JACKSON

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Report on the status of the Community Schools Transition Plan

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Leisure Services/Recreation

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On August 20, 2001, the City of Las Vegas assumed 100% fiscal and administrative controls over the Community Schools. This report reflects the Community Schools' accomplishments and future expansion concepts.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only; no action required

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

PowerPoint presentation

MOTION:

None required. This matter was held to the 11/6/2002 Council meeting.

MINUTES:

Given the time and the lengthy agenda, MAYOR PRO TEM REESE decided to hold the matter to the next meeting.

There was no further discussion.

(1:07 – 1:08)

3-2287

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: LEISURE SERVICES**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JACKSON, DPA** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action to reallocate funding in support of the Clark County Middle School Sports Program (not to exceed \$250,000 - General Fund) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount: \$250,000 (not to exceed)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division: Leisure Services/Adaptive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In an effort to address the impact of the Clark County School District's fiscal shortfall upon its Middle School Sports program, the "Save the Sports" program was developed.

Staff has been advised that \$350,000 is needed to ensure a full-fledged program. Several fundraisers have been occurring throughout the Las Vegas Valley in an attempt to generate the funding for the "Save the Sports" effort. Should Council approve support for the "Save the Sports" program, a transfer not to exceed \$250,000 needs to occur from ballfield lighting capital projects to the general fund.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff will implement the direction of Council

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED a \$250,000 allocation – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY discussed the details behind this item. This past year the Clark County School District found a shortfall in their budget; therefore, middle school sports were cut. This concerned many parents that decided to join forces and form the "Save the Sports" program to raise funds to save middle school sports. He and COUNCILMAN BROWN were approached to help out.

This matter interested him a lot because he is a product of the Clark County School District, as well as COUNCILMAN McDONALD, and he recalls in the 80's when middle school sports were taken away. Consequently, there was a significant increase in youth turning to gang violence, teenage girls getting pregnant, and teenagers committing suicide. Middle school sports are very important to youth, and they provide a safe haven for many that do not have that.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002

Leisure Services

Item 58 – Discussion and possible action to reallocate funding in support of the Clark County Middle School Sports Program

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY said that even though he received many letters from people questioning why the City should get involved when it is a School District issue, he believes that lack of middle school sports impacts youth from all areas and that there should not be any boundaries in resolving this problem. All elected officials have a responsibility to come forward when an emergency issue arises. He noted that the School District only needs assistance this year. They should have funding for future years. He stressed that government sometimes has to put boundaries to the side and step in and do what is right for the children.

He then introduced TED BOYACK, who became active in the Save the Sports program because he is concerned about middle school sports, like many other parents that became involved even though they do not have children in any sports. MR. BOYACK gave thanks to COUNCILMEN BROWN and WEEKLY for the opportunity to present this matter for the entire Council's consideration. He indicated that parents have come together from all over the Valley to raise money to save these programs. Unfortunately, their goal was not reached, and they only have two weeks left to raise funds, which means that middle school children will not be able to play sports and other activities such as cheerleading, drill team, and other similar activities. As a concerned citizen, he noted that it is very refreshing to see a government body cutting through a lot of the bureaucracy and focusing on one specific issue.

COUNCILMAN MACK applauded COUNCILMEN BROWN and WEEKLY for getting involved in this, for he too is a product of after school sports in Northern Nevada. He believes that is one of the reasons he has been successful on a broad scale. However, his main focus with these funds is that they are specifically earmarked for middle school sports, boys/girls basketball, cheerleading, and drill team, and not get lost in the School District's budget. He announced that the City appropriated \$750,000 in the budget for lighting School District fields. Any funds approved for this issue would come out of that fund.

LARRY McKAY, Director of Athletics for the Clark County School District, assured COUNCILMAN MACK that any contributions from the City would be deposited in a special fund that has been set up for this program. All the money that has been raised through the efforts of the Save the Sports committee has gone to the same fund. He remarked that the necessary \$350,000 would be used for athletics at every middle school in the Clark County School District. No program or school will receive favoritism.

As athletic director, MR. McKAY said that his goal would be to have more sports than just basketball. However, when the cutbacks occurred in the 80's, only basketball was brought back, after a few years. If basketball were lost now, he thinks it would be very difficult to bring it back.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002

Leisure Services

Item 58 – Discussion and possible action to reallocate funding in support of the Clark County Middle School Sports Program

MINUTES – Continued:

Lastly, COUNCILMAN MACK added that he hopes that other governmental entities will step up once they see the City coming forward so that this problem can be resolved.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE said that MR. McKAY has been his friend for many years. MR. McKAY has touched many lives as the athletic director and with his Christmas Hoop Basketball Tournaments not only for the locals, but also throughout the whole country. He is very dedicated to his job and the youth of Clark County.

SHEILA MOLTEN, representing the Clark County School District, commented that the School District hopes this is a one-time situation, and it is aggressively going to ask the legislature that all of the programs that were cutback because of budget crisis be reinstituted. She greatly appreciated the City's action on this matter, as well as the parents that have stepped forward. She noted that middle school sports mean a lot to students, especially since middle school is one of the most difficult acclimations for the students, from elementary school to middle school. Anything that can be done to promote their success is the goal of the School Board of Trustees. She offered her services in this endeavor.

VIVIAN HARRIS, Boulder City resident, mentioned that the Boulder City Council has stepped forward in this matter, even though they cannot contribute as much because of their smaller budget. The parents of Boulder City were asked to raise \$5,000 for the only middle school in Boulder City. However, they decided that more than that was needed in order to help other schools outside of Boulder City. They raised a total of \$8,500.

In her opinion, school sports are very important to youth. Green Valley High School, where her daughter attends school and plays golf, just won the title of 129 wins under MR. McKAY's leadership. This is a national record, and because of this record, two Ivy League schools have contacted her daughter to possibly play golf and attend college. She extended her appreciation to the Council for its support.

MARK RATNER, representing Commissioner Officials, commended the City Council for giving consideration to this matter. He said that as a person that has been attending school sports for over 30 years, he knows the importance of school spirit. He could not emphasize enough how important this is to students. He noted that the Southern Nevada Officials gave \$10,000 to this effort.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002

Leisure Services

Item 58 – Discussion and possible action to reallocate funding in support of the Clark County Middle School Sports Program

MINUTES – Continued:

ANTHONY HODGES, 3640 Las Vegas Boulevard, North, stated that the Council is taking a very good giant step in helping the youth. It is too bad other municipalities were not contacted earlier to share the cost. He thanked the Council.

COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that he had the opportunity three weeks ago to attend one of the “Save the Sports” committee meetings, where the concept of approaching the City Council for support was initiated. Hearing the stories about what many of the parents and their children had to undergo to try to raise funds was very moving. These parents have raised the awareness of how vulnerable middle school students are, for they are getting out of the protected elementary school environment and preparing for the unprotected environment of high school. He encouraged each and every person to attend a middle school sport to get an understanding of the school spirit that exists at these events. So many generations come together, unlike at any other level of schooling.

Therefore, middle school students need to remain a priority to the School District and local governmental entities, even though these entities may face financial challenges. Fortunately, a few years ago the current Council members decided that one of the City’s priorities would be parks, open spaces, and community centers. He challenged the other local public entities and the private sector not only to contribute financially in order to meet the deadline of 11/1/2002, but also to support the School District at the legislature to convince State representatives of the importance of middle school programs.

Lastly, in response to those who have questioned many times why the City is getting involved in this, he said that the City and the School District have been working in partnership for more than 30 years, with the Open Doors/Open Schools program. To reallocate some of the monies from that partnership toward this priority is appropriate.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY interjected that the County Commission was approached about this matter under citizens participation at its previous meeting and will consider this matter at its next meeting. He then highly commended COUNCILMAN BROWN for coming forward and sparking so much excitement and hope on this fundraising endeavor.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE noted that his daughter and son went to college through sports scholarships and they are now productive members of the Las Vegas community. His grandson participated in middle school basketball, and the games were very exciting. He further noted that he is in support of expending these funds because he would rather pay today. He hopes that middle school sports continue.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002

Leisure Services

Item 58 – Discussion and possible action to reallocate funding in support of the Clark County Middle School Sports Program

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE and COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and McDONALD then discussed the money to be allocated. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE initially motioned for \$100,000. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY suggested \$125,000, especially given the potential for a \$125,000 donation from the County. COUNCILMAN McDONALD stressed that he and COUNCILMAN WEEKLY are both products of the Clark County School District, and that the City should take leadership in this matter. He recommended a \$250,000 allocation. COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD seconded COUNCILMAN McDONALD's recommendation. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE withdrew his original motion and motioned for approval of a \$250,000 allocation.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY noted that this would be a one-time allocation for this particular school year.

(11:16 – 11:45)

2-1380

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES****DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on the sale of a satellite parking lot owned by the Tom Hom Group located at 216 and 222 North 9th Street, and 900 Stewart Avenue due to lack of use by Campaigne Place residents - Ward 3 (Reese) (NOTE: The correct ward is Ward 5 [Weekly].)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On June 9, 1997 Council approved a request for satellite parking on the property located at 216 and 222 North 9th Street, and 900 Stewart Avenue for use by residents of Campaigne Place. The lot has not been used for two years and is not needed for the Campaigne Place residents. The Tom Hom Group is requesting approval by Council to sell the property and use the funds from the sale to assist with the refinancing of Campaigne Place.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Manager recommends that the City Council approve the request.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Letter from the Tom Hom Group dated September 12, 2002

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended, requiring the Tom Hom Group to obtain a variance for the property prior to the close of escrow – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

NOTE: MAYOR PRO TEM REESE corrected that this project is in Ward 5, not Ward 3 as indicated on the subject line.

SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, Neighborhood Services, explained that the Council previously approved a variance to allow Campaigne Place to be built on property located at 201 and 222 North 8th Street. A year later the Council approved a request for satellite parking on the property located at 216 and 222 North 9th Street and 900 Stewart Avenue, because there was concern that there was not enough on-site parking. However, the parking lot has never been utilized. Staff is recommending

approval of the sale of the satellite-parking site, contingent upon the Tom Hom Group obtaining a variance for the property prior to the close of escrow.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002

Neighborhood Services

Item 59 – Discussion and possible action on the sale of a satellite parking lot owned by the Tom Hom Group located at 216 and 222 North 9th Street and 900 Stewart Avenue due to lack of use by Campaigne Place residents

MINUTES – Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 N. 19th Street, admitted that he made a mistake in insisting that Campaigne Place have adequate parking. He has never seen more than ten cars since it was built.

(1:08 – 1:10)

3-2302

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a list of projects for nomination for funding from the Special Account established through the sale of Bureau of Land Management (BLM) lands in accordance with the Southern Nevada Public Lands Management Act (SNPLMA) - Wards 1, 4 and 6 (M. McDonald, Brown and Mack)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The purpose of this item is to identify parks, trails, and open space projects that will be submitted to the BLM for consideration for possible funding through the Special Account established as a result of the Southern Nevada Public Lands Management Act.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

CHRIS KNIGHT, Deputy Director of Planning and Development, was present.

COUNCILMAN MACK said that he read an alarming newspaper article in the Las Vegas Review Journal and the Las Vegas Sun regarding BLM sales. He questioned if that will have an affect on this matter. MR. KNIGHT indicated that this is affected only in that the funding for these projects come through the land sales. He honestly suspects that it involves the delay on future land sales. BLM staff is working hard to keep this particular process running and in process. Staff will contact BLM to see what the City can do to help. DEPUTY CITY MANAGER FRETWELL added that staff is also working with federal lobbyists to get an update on the impact to Southern Nevada.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002

Planning and Development

Item 60 - Discussion and possible action regarding a list of projects for nomination for funding from the Special Account established through the sale of Bureau of Land Management (BLM) lands in accordance with the Southern Nevada Public Lands Management Act (SNPLMA)

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE questioned the projects that this item includes. MR. KNIGHT responded that there are six projects, totaling \$14.94 million. Most of them are located in the Northwest portion of the City because the funding that is being requested requires a nexus to BLM lands. However, there is one project for \$5 million, which is a continuing effort with the Southern Nevada Water District on the reclamation of the Las Vegas Springs Preserve. The projects are: Lone Mountain Trailhead, La Madre Trailhead, Pre-historic River Bed Preservation, Centennial Hills Park Trail, Quail Springs Plan Formulation, and Las Vegas Springs Preserve Trails.

There was no further discussion.

(1:10 – 1:13)

3-2407

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD GOECKE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action of Sewer Connection and Interlocal Contract with Clark County Sanitation District - Wright Engineers on behalf of Centennial Crest L.L.C. a Nevada limited liability company, owner (southwest corner of Centennial Parkway and Michelli Crest Way, APN 126-25-501-002) - County - near Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request is to connect eleven single family dwellings located on the southwest corner of Centennial Parkway and Michelli Crest Way. The owners will be required to extend the existing 8" sewer line in Centennial Parkway. The Planning Department has determined the project does not conform to the City's General Plan for the area which allows ten units; there is sufficient capacity in the City Sanitary Sewer. The applicant has signed a "Sewer Connection Agreement". The property is within the Clark County Interlocal Annexation Exceptions area and cannot be annexed to the City.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Department of Public Works acknowledges that sufficient capacity exists and the connection could be allowed, subject to conformance with all City Codes and Department standards and off-site improvements being installed per City standards.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda memo

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, commented that the sewer connection request is for 11 homes, where the City's Master Plan calls for 10; however, the item is in order.

JEREMY McKAY, Wright Civil Engineers, 7310 Smoke Ranch Road, appeared representing the owner. He concurred with the conditions.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002

Public Works

Item 61 - Discussion and possible action of Sewer Connection and Interlocal Contract with Clark County Sanitation District - Wright Engineers on behalf of Centennial Crest L.L.C. a Nevada limited liability company, owner (southwest corner of Centennial Parkway and Michelli Crest Way, APN 126-25-501-002) – County

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN BROWN noted that this property is at Centennial Parkway and closer to Ward 6.

There was no further discussion.

(1:13 – 1:14)

3-2543

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Report on the Bonneville/Clark and Casino Center/Fourth Street One-Way Couplet Project - Wards 1 and 5 (M. McDonald and Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Traffic Engineering

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

A PowerPoint presentation will be given to update the Mayor and Council on the Bonneville/Clark and Casino Center/Fourth Street One-Way Couplet Project

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only; no action required

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required. This matter was held to the 11/6/2002 Council meeting.

MINUTES:

Given the time and the lengthy agenda, MAYOR PRO TEM REESE decided to hold the matter to the next meeting.

There was no further discussion.

(1:14)

3-2609

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on a Professional Services Agreement with Stantec Consulting Inc., to provide design services of Phase 1 and Phase 1A for Washington and Buffalo Park located at Buffalo Drive adjacent to Summerlin Parkway (\$2,290,000 - Capital Project Fund) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$2,290,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** PW/Engineering Integration☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Capital Project Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City of Las Vegas desires to construct a park on 150 acres adjacent to Summerlin Parkway between Buffalo Drive and the Angel Park Detention Basin. These new Phase 1 and Phase 1A improvements will provide additional park amenities for the citizens of Las Vegas. Stantec Consulting Inc. is a local landscape architectural and engineering firm. They will provide the design services for the project.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the negotiated Professional Services Agreement with Stantec Consulting Inc. for the design services of Washington and Buffalo Park in the amount of \$2,290,000 and approve an Additional Services contingency reserve of \$114,500.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Professional Services Agreement

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

JOHN McNELLIS, Deputy Director of Public Works, explained that this design contract includes the design of the entire site located between Durango Drive and Buffalo Drive, which includes all the soccer components, green space, open space areas, and the tennis complex.

COUNCILMAN BROWN requested that the consultant work closely with the City. Both the soccer and tennis communities are very anxious for this project to move forward. This is a large challenge, and if the City can partner with the consultant during the six to nine months, it will ensure that the final product moves forward as quickly as possible.

NOTE: See Item 102 [Z-0017-02(1)] for additional discussion.

(3:07 – 3:09)

5-1800

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

RESOLUTIONS:

R-111-2002 – Discussion and Possible Action Regarding a Resolution Adopting Interlocal Cooperative Agreement Establishing the Clean Water Coalition (\$50,000 - Sanitation Enterprise Fund) – All Wards

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** *\$50,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Environmental☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Sanitation Enterprise Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Clark County Sanitation District, City of Henderson and City of Las Vegas, referring to themselves as the Clean Water Coalition (“CWC”), have been working together in a cooperative spirit for several years to address issues related to the water quality impacts of treated wastewater being discharged into the Las Vegas Wash and Lake Mead from their respective wastewater treatment facilities.

In seeking long-term solutions the CWC has established the Systems Conveyance and Operations Program (SCOP) to address the above water quality issues. This Resolution authorizes the City to execute the attached Interlocal Cooperative Agreement among the District and Cities establishing a legal entity for the purpose of implementing the SCOP program.

* \$50,000 (initial startup funding)

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Resolution No. R-111-2002
2. Interlocal Cooperative Agreement

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED as recommended, removing the signature line for the State Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, Division of Environmental Protection as well as the removal of references in Sections 20.4 and 22.1 of the Agreement requiring such signature - UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002

Resolutions

Item 64 – R-111-2002

MINUTES – Continued:

CITY MANAGER SELBY remarked that approval of this matter will allow for the establishment of a new offspring entity with the City of Henderson and the Clark County Sanitation District, both of which have already approved the agreement, to deal with long-term wastewater affluent disposal issues that affect water quality in Lake Mead. He noted a minor change in the agreement at the State Attorney General's request, to remove the signature line for the State Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, Division of Environmental Protection. Therefore, CITY MANAGER SELBY recommended approval, subject to the removal of the signature line for said Division, as well as the removal of references in Sections 20.4 and 22.1 of the Agreement requiring such signature.

There was no further discussion.

(1:16 – 1:18)

3-2737

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO RONEMUS**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

Discussion and possible action on the appointment of one representative and one alternate from the City of Las Vegas to serve on the Clean Water Coalition in accordance with R-111-2002

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Affirmative action on R-111-2002, on today's agenda, will adopt the Interlocal Cooperative Agreement establishing the Clean Water Coalition. To create the Coalition's governing board, the agreement requires the appointment of one representative and one alternative from each Member signing the agreement, to be assigned concurrently with the approval of the agreement. Each representative and alternate shall hold office until the Member appointing such representative or alternate selects a successor and notifies the Board in writing. A Member's representative and alternate may, but need not be, a member of the governing board of such Member.

RECOMMENDATION:

It will be necessary for the Mayor and Council to appoint one representative and one alternate to serve as City of Las Vegas representatives on the Clean Water Coalition. Each appointee may be, but need not be, a member of the Las Vegas City Council.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPOINT COUNCILMAN BROWN as the representative and COUNCILMAN MACK as the alternate – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

DEPUTY CITY MANAGER SELBY said that COUNCILMEN BROWN and MACK have expressed an interest in this board.

There was no further discussion.

(1:18 – 1:19)

3-2813

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

:

SUBJECT:

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

CIVIL SERVICE BOARD OF TRUSTEES – E. Lavonne Lewis, Term Expiration 11-8-2002

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$50.00 per meeting

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Human Resources

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Board of Civil Service Trustees is comprised of 5 members appointed by the City Council.

Members of this board must be City residents and attendance is compensatory. Members are limited to two full terms. Ms. Lewis is eligible and wishes to be reappointed.

RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure for this Board requires appointment by the City Council. Ms. Lewis is eligible for reappointment.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Memo from F. Claudette Enus, Director of Human Resources
2. Current Listing and Authority-Board of Civil Service Trustees

MOTION:

BROWN – Motion to REAPPOINT LAVONNE LEWIS – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

City Clerk to notify

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:19 – 1:20)

3-2848

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

PARK & RECREATION ADVISORY COMMISSION – Donald Romeo, Term Expiration 11-18-2002; Zelda Weingard, Term Expiration 11-18-2002; Cedric Cole, Term Expiration 3-24-2003 (Resigned)

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Terms of office for Donald Romeo and Zelda Weingard will expire November 18, 2002. There is no City residency requirement, terms are for three years and there is no limit to the number of terms that may be served. Both Dr. Romeo and Ms. Weingard are eligible and wish to be reappointed.

Attendance information for their previous term is provided by Dr. Jackson's memorandum. Mr. Cole has resigned. It will be necessary to recommend an appointee to fill his unexpired term.

RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure for this Board requires appointment by the City Council. Mr. Romeo and Ms. Weingard are eligible for reappointment. It will be necessary to recommend an appointee to fill Mr. Cole's unexpired term.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Memo from Dr. Barbara Jackson, Director of Leisure Services
2. Current Listing and Authority-Park & Recreation Advisory Commission
3. Board Interest Form – Derick Wickliffe
4. Letter of resignation from Cedric Cole

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to REAPPOINT DONALD ROMEO (Mack's recommendation) and ZELDA WEINGARD (M. McDonald's recommendation) and hold in abeyance CEDRIC COLE's seat to 11/6/2002 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

City Clerk to notify

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Boards and Commissions
Item 67 – Park and Recreation Advisory Commission

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY requested that CEDRIC COLE's seat be held to 11/6/2002 so that he can speak to a gentleman that has expressed interest in this board.

There was no further discussion.

(1:20 – 1:22)

3-2892

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

SENIOR CITIZEN LAW PROJECT ADVISORY BOARD – Mirohim “Mimi” Saft, Term Expiration 11-18-2002

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Board is comprised of 9 members, filling three-year terms, with at least two members over the age of 60. Appointees must represent specific categories: 2 members of Senior Organizations; 2 Senior Service Providers; 3 Private Citizens; and 2 members of the Nevada State Bar. Members are limited to two terms. Ms. Saft filled the Senior Services Provider category. She is not eligible for reappointment.

RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure for this Board requires appointment by the City Council. It will be necessary to appoint a new member in the Senior Services Provider category to fill this seat whose term will expire November 2005.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Memo from Sheri Cane Vogel recommending the appointment of Janice Miller
2. Current Listing and Authority-Senior Citizen Law Project Advisory Board

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – Motion to APPOINT JANICE MILLER, 333 Las Vegas Blvd. South, #8016, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101- UNANMOUS with GOODMAN excused

Clerk to notify

MINUTES:

SHERI CANE VOGEL, Senior Law Project, recommended the appointment of JANICE MILLER, who is the regional representative for SENATOR HARRY REID in charge of Senior Affairs. She works very well with seniors.

There was no further discussion.

(1:22 – 1:23)

3-3002

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

ANIMAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE – Staci Columbo, Term Expiration 11-15-2002

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This board is comprised of 5 members who serve two-year terms with no limit to the number of terms that may be served. There is no City residency requirement. Ms. Columbo is eligible and wishes to be reappointed.

RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure for this Board requires appointment by the City Council. Ms. Columbo is eligible for reappointment.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Memo from Roger Van Oordt, Animal Control Supervisor, Detention & Enforcement
2. Current Listing and Authority-Animal Advisory Committee
3. Board Interest Form – Scott Weissinger

MOTION:

MACK – Motion to REAPPOINT STACI COLUMBO - UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

Clerk to notify

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:23)

3-3052

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-101 – Annexation No. A-0013-02(A) – Property location: 330 feet north of Buckskin Avenue and 640 feet east of Cliff Shadows Parkway; Petitioned by: Donald and Beth Sylvester; Acreage: 2.54 acres; Zoned: R-U (County zoning), U (PCD) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located 330 feet north of Buckskin Avenue and 640 feet east of Cliff Shadows Parkway. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (October 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

NOTE: The Lone Mountain West Master Plan has designated this parcel as ML (Medium Low Density Residential) which allows up to 12 dwelling units per acre.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 10/16/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 9/30/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 9/18/2002; First Publication – 10/4/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-101 and Location Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5522 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:23 – 1:24)
3-3079

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-103 – Ordinance Creating Special Improvement District No. 1481 - El Capitan Way (Centennial Parkway to US-95) Sponsored by: Step Requirement (\$3,824,708.11 - Capital Projects Fund/Special Assessments)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$3,824,708.11

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The construction and installation of pavement, storm drain facilities, water mains, water laterals, and sewer laterals. In addition, curb and gutter, driveway approaches, fire hydrants and streetlights will be constructed on the east side of El Capitan Way and along the south side of Elkhorn Road.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 10/16/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 9/30/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 9/18/2002; First Publication – 10/4/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-103

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5523 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:24)

3-3100

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-104 – Ordinance Creating Special Improvement District No. 1495 – Buffalo Drive (Cheyenne Avenue to Lone Mountain Road) Sponsored by: Step Requirement (\$161,922.91 - Capital Projects Fund/Special Assessments)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$161,922.91

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The construction and installation of pavement, “L” type curb and gutter, sidewalk, driveway approaches, sewer laterals and streetlights.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 10/16/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 9/30/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 9/18/2002; First Publication – 10/4/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-104

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5524 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:24 – 1:25)

3-3136

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-105 – Adopts the 2003 Edition of the Southern Nevada Pool Code. Proposed by: Paul K. Wilkins, Director of Building and Safety

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will adopt the 2003 Edition of the Southern Nevada Pool Code. The Code reflects area local governments' uniform approach to the regulation of swimming pools and spas.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 10/2/2002; First Publication – 10/24/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

11/6/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-106 – Adopts the 2000 Edition of the Uniform Plumbing Code, together with amendments thereto, as the City's Plumbing Code. Proposed by: Paul K. Wilkins, Director of Building and Safety

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will adopt the 2000 Edition of the Uniform Plumbing Code, along with local amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/6/2002 City Council meeting as a First Amendment pursuant to the 10/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 10/2/2002; First Publication – 10/24/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

11/6/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-107 – Adopts the 2002 Edition of the National Electrical Code, together with amendments and supplementary material. Proposed by: Paul K. Wilkins, Director of Building and Safety

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will adopt the 2002 Edition of the National Electrical Code, along with local amendments and supplements thereto.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 10/2/2002; First Publication – 10/24/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

11/6/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-108 – Adopts the 2000 Edition of the Uniform Mechanical Code and certain Appendices, together with amendments thereto, as the City's Mechanical Code. Proposed by: Paul K. Wilkins, Director of Building and Safety

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will adopt the 2000 Edition of the Uniform Mechanical Code, along with local amendments thereto.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 10/2/2002; First Publication – 10/24/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

11/6/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-109 – Adopts the Conservation Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan.
Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will adopt the Conservation Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan. The Conservation Element is being added to the City's Master Plan in accordance with State law, and addresses the items required by statute to be included.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 10/2/2002; First Publication – 10/24/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

11/6/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-110 – Bond Ordinance providing for the issuance by the City of Las Vegas of its Registered, Negotiable, General Obligation (Limited Tax) Fire Refunding Bonds, Series 2002C, not to exceed the amount necessary to effect the Refunding Project plus the cost of issuance of the Bonds, for the purpose of achieving interest rate savings - Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance & Business Services - Various wards

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

NRS 350.684 provides that the City may issue refunding bonds to refund, pay and discharge all or any part of the outstanding bonds of any one or more issues for the purpose of reducing interest costs or effecting other economies. Projections indicate that this refunding issue will net a 4.015% savings in borrowing costs.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 10/2/2002; First Publication – 10/24/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-111 – Bond Ordinance providing for the issuance by the City of Las Vegas of its Registered, Negotiable, General Obligation (Limited Tax) Sewer Refunding Bonds (Additionally Secured by Pledged Revenues), Series 2002B, not to exceed the amount necessary to effect the Refunding Project, for the purpose of achieving interest rate savings - Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance & Business Services - Various wards

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

NRS 350.684 provides that the City may issue refunding bonds to refund, pay and discharge all or any part of the outstanding bonds of any one or more issues for the purpose of reducing interest costs or effecting other economies. Projections indicate that this refunding issue will net a 4.232% savings in borrowing costs.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 10/2/2002; First Publication – 10/24/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-112 – Bond Ordinance providing for the issuance by the City of Las Vegas of its Registered, Negotiable, General Obligation (Limited Tax) Transportation Refunding Bonds (Additionally Secured by Pledged Revenues), Series 2002D, not to exceed the amount necessary to effect the Refunding Project, for the purpose of achieving interest rate savings - Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance & Business Services - Various wards

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

NRS 350.684 provides that the City may issue refunding bonds to refund, pay and discharge all or any part of the outstanding bonds of any one or more issues for the purpose of reducing interest costs or effecting other economies. Projections indicate that this refunding issue will net a 3.132% savings in borrowing costs.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 10/2/2002; First Publication – 10/24/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-113 – Bond Ordinance providing for the issuance by the City of Las Vegas of its Registered, Negotiable, General Obligation (Limited Tax) Parking Bonds (Additionally Secured by Pledged Revenues), Series 2002A, not to exceed \$25,000,000, for the purpose of defraying wholly or in part the cost of acquiring, constructing, reconstructing, improving and equipping building projects in the City, including without limitation, buildings to accommodate offstreet parking projects - Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance & Business Services - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$25,000,000

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Parking Enterprise Fund

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Parking Revenues

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City received approval from the Clark County Debt Management Commission on April 5, 2002 to proceed with this bond issue. The proceeds of this bond issue will be used for the construction of the parking garage(s) downtown to accommodate the Regional Justice Center.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 11/6/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 10/14/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 10/2/2002; First Publication – 10/24/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****NEW BILLS:**

Bill No. 2002-114 – Annexation No. A-0010-02(A) – Property location: 330 feet south of Alexander Road and 1,400 feet west of Hualapai Way; Petitioned by: Southwest Desert Equities, LLC; Acreage: 2.64 acres; Zoned: R-U (County zoning), U (PCD) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located 330 feet south of Alexander Road and 1,400 feet west of Hualapai Way. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (November 29, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-114 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

11/4/2002 Recommending Committee

11/6/2002 Council Agenda

(1:25 – 1:27)

3-3172

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILLS:

Bill No. 2002-115 – Annexation No. A-0012-02(A) – Property location: 290 feet south of Alexander Road and 300 feet west of Cimarron Road; Petitioned by: Alexander and Phillip Mackovski; Acreage: 2.66 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), U (ML) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located 290 feet south of Alexander Road and 300 feet west of Cimarron Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (November 29, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-115 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

11/4/2002 Recommending Committee

11/6/2002 Council Agenda

(1:25 – 1:27)

3-3172

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****NEW BILLS:**

Bill No. 2002-116 – Annexation No. A-0014-02(A) – Property location: On the south side of Lone Mountain Road, 670 feet east of Puli Road; Petitioned by: Southwest Desert Equities, LLC; Acreage: 5.38 acres; Zoned: R-U (County zoning), U (PCD) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Lone Mountain Road, 670 feet east of Puli Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (November 15, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-116 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

11/4/2002 Recommending Committee

11/6/2002 Council Agenda

(1:25 – 1:27)

3-3172

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILLS:

Bill No. 2002-117 – Annexation No. A-0021-02(A) – Property location: On the southwest corner of Fort Apache Road and Deer Springs Road; Petitioned by: SPKQL8R, LLC; Acreage: 5.05 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), U (L-TC) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southwest corner of Fort Apache Road and Deer Springs Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (November 15, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-117 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

11/4/2002 Recommending Committee

11/6/2002 Council Agenda

(1:25 – 1:27)

3-3172

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILLS:

Bill No. 2002-118 – Annexation No. A-0026-02(A) – Property location: On the east side of Fort Apache Road, 660 feet south of Elkhorn Road; Petitioned by: David B. Ober Family Trust, et al.; Acreage: 5.09 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), U (ML-TC) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the east side of Fort Apache Road, 660 feet south of Elkhorn Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (November 29, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-118 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

11/4/2002 Recommending Committee

11/6/2002 Council Agenda

(1:25 – 1:27)

3-3172

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILLS:

Bill No. 2002-119 – Annexation No. A-0027-02(A) – Property location: On the south side of Lone Mountain Road, 330 feet east of Puli Road; Petitioned by: William Parker; Acreage: 5.39 acres; Zoned: R-U (County zoning), U (PCD) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Lone Mountain Road, 330 feet east of Puli Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (November 15, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-119 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

11/4/2002 Recommending Committee

11/6/2002 Council Agenda

(1:25 – 1:27)

3-3172

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILLS:

Bill No. 2002-120 – Annexation No. A-0028-02(A) – Property location: On the northwest corner of Jones Boulevard and Horse Drive; Petitioned by: Miceli Family Trust, et al.; Acreage: 10.56 acres; Zoned: R-E / RNP 1 (County zoning), U (DR) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northwest corner of Jones Boulevard and Horse Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (November 29, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-120 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

11/4/2002 Recommending Committee

11/6/2002 Council Agenda

(1:25 – 1:27)

3-3172

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILLS:

Bill No. 2002-121 - Bond Ordinance providing for the issuance by the City of Las Vegas of its Taxable General Obligation (Limited Tax) Fremont Street Experience Refunding Bonds (Additionally Secured with Pledged Revenues) Series 2002, not to exceed the amount necessary to effect the Refunding Project, for the purpose of achieving interest rate savings - Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance & Business Services - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

NRS 350.684 provides that the City may issue refunding bonds to refund, pay and discharge all or any part of the outstanding bonds of any one or more issues for the purpose of reducing interest costs or effecting other economies. Projections indicate that this refunding issue will net a 5.07% savings in borrowing costs.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a recommending committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-121

MOTION:**None required.****MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

11/4/2002 Recommending Committee

11/6/2002 Council Agenda

(1:25 – 1:27)

3-3172

THE MORNING SESSION RECESSED AT 1:27 P.M.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Any items from the afternoon session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to WITHDRAW WITH PREJUDICE Item 95 [V-0064-02], HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 106 [SNC-0002-99(1)] to 12/18/2002, Item 124 [U-0108-02] to 12/4/2002, Item 127 [Z-0054-02], 128 [Z-0054-02(1)], 131 [Z-0069-02], Item 132 [Z-0069-02(1)], to 11/06/2002 - UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused with MACK not voting

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK requested that Item 106 [SNC-0002-99(1)] be held in abeyance to the 12/18/2002 City Council meeting. The community is asking the street name be changed from Michael Andress to Mystic Valley Avenue. Before action is taken, he would like to locate another street for the ANDRESS family, who has contributed so much to the community.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE announced that there has been a request to bring Item 126 [U-0111-02] forward for discussion and action.

MARGO WHEELER, Planning and Development Department, indicated that Item 131 [Z-0069-02] is companion item to Item 132 [Z-0079-02(1)] and should also be held in abeyance to the 11/06/2002 City Council.

There was no further discussion.

(2:15 – 2:20)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT PM SESSION INDEX:

CONSENT AGENDA

NO ITEMS

DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW

- 91 SD-0039-02** - Atlantic Richfield Company on behalf of United Brothers Enterprises

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING

- 92 Z-0057-02(1)** - Town Center Ventures, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Pageantry Homes
- 93 Z-0054-98(1) AND Z-0018-02(1)** - City of Las Vegas and Clark County School District

MAJOR MODIFICATION TO THE LAS VEGAS MEDICAL DISTRICT PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING

- 94 Z-0020-97(39)** - Clark County Credit Union

VARIANCE RELATED TO Z-0020-97(39) - PUBLIC HEARING

- 95 V-0064-02** - Clark County Credit Union

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO Z0020-97(39) AND V-0064-02 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 96 U-0110-02** - Clark County Credit Union

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0020-97(39), V-0064-02 AND U-0110-02 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 97 Z-0020-97(40)** - Clark County Credit Union

MAJOR MODIFICATION TO THE IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH MASTER PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING

- 98 Z-0016-98(23)** - Iron Mountain Ranch Alliance, Limited Liability Company, et al on behalf of Presiding Bishop of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints

City of Las Vegas

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Two INDEX City Council Meeting of October 16, 2002

- SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO Z-0016-98(23) - PUBLIC HEARING
99 U-0112-02 - Iron Mountain Ranch Alliance, Limited Liability Company, et al on behalf of Presiding Bishop of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0016-98(23) AND U-0112-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
100 Z-0016-98(24) - Iron Mountain Ranch Alliance, Limited Liability Company, et al on behalf of Presiding Bishop of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints
- RESCIND PREVIOUS ACTION - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING
101 Z-0017-02(1) - City of Las Vegas
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING
102 Z-0017-02(1) - City of Las Vegas
- MASTER SIGN PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING
103 MSP-0005-02 - Farm and Alexander Properties, Limited Liability Company on behalf of City Development Group
104 MSP-0006-02 - Sea Breeze Village, Limited Liability Company
- MASTER PLAN OF STREETS AND HIGHWAYS - PUBLIC HEARING
105 ABEYANCE ITEM - MSH-0006-02 - City of Las Vegas
- STREET NAME CHANGE - PUBLIC HEARING
106 SNC-0002-99(1) - Mystic Valley Home Owners Association
- VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING
107 VAC-0061-02 - Great American Capital and Sahara Business Park
108 VAC-0062-02 - Pardee Construction Company Nevada
- VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING
109 V-0055-02 - Dorothy Buset Trust
110 V-0056-02 - Fausto Vega Flores
111 V-0058-02 - Grand Canyon Partners, Limited Liability Company
- REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
112 U-0024-99(2) - Kathy Lightfoot

City of Las Vegas



PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Three
INDEX
City Council Meeting of October 16, 2002

REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 113 **U-0037-95(2)** - Ranch Drive, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Lamar Outdoor Advertising
- 114 **U-0038-95(2)** - Halstan, Inc. on behalf of Lamar Outdoor Advertising
- 115 **U-0042-95(3)** - S & S #2, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Lamar Outdoor Advertising

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 116 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0089-02** - Krishna, Inc. on behalf of Bahram-Ganjei
- 117 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0045-02** - Brian and Julie Lee & Gary Licker
- 118 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0047-02** - Brian and Julie Lee & Gary Licker

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0045-02 AND U-0047-02 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 119 **ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0068-01(1)** - Brian and Julie Lee & Gary Licker

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 120 **U-0071-02** - Alta Mira Commercial Limited Partnership on behalf of Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0071-02 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 121 **Z-0108-88(15)** - Alta Mira Commercial Limited Partnership on behalf of Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 122 **U-0104-02** - Lipkin 1992 Trust on behalf of Lamar Outdoor Advertising
- 123 **U-0105-02** - E & S Center on behalf of Araceli Garcia-Acosta
- 124 **U-0108-02** - Timothy D. Reed
- 125 **U-0109-02** - Gilbert Family Trust 3rd Reinstatement on behalf of La Mojarrá Loca, Inc.
- 126 **U-0111-02** - Tawnya Sheikhan on behalf of Shahram, Inc.

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING

- 127 **Z-0054-02** - Nellis Land Company

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0054-02 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 128 **Z-0054-02(1)** - Nellis Land Company



PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Four
INDEX
City Council Meeting of October 16, 2002

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
129 Z-0067-02 - David M. Pawl on behalf of Southwest Design Group, Inc.

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0067-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
130 Z-0067-02(1) - David M. Pawl on behalf of Southwest Design Group, Inc.

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
131 Z-0069-02 - Concordia Homes Nevada, Inc.

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0069-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
132 Z-0069-02(1) - Concordia Homes Nevada, Inc.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - SD-0039-02 - ATLANTIC RICHFIELD COMPANY ON BEHALF OF UNITED BROTHERS ENTERPRISES - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Reduction of the On-site Perimeter Landscape Requirement FOR A CONVENIENCE STORE AND FUEL CANOPY on 0.92 acres at 2320 Fremont Street (APN: 139-35-805-001), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at City Council – Letter from ACE Engineering

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition #5 as follows

5. The site plan must *reflect the 10-foot wide sidewalk and the five-foot wide landscaping for the Multi-Use Transportation Trail* along the east side of Eastern Avenue, in accordance with the provisions of the Transportation Trails Plan.

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his brother owns a Super Pawn store in the vicinity. He has not discussed this item and does not believe it will have any impact on his business, therefore he will be voting on the item.

MINUTES:

A representative for the applicant indicated that relating to Condition #3, an 11-foot sidewalk is already in existence. Plans have been submitted that address Condition #5 to provide for a 10-foot sidewalk and five feet of landscaping. There have been two changes since this item was

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 91 – SD-0039-02

MINUTES – Continued:

before the Planning Commission, which have been submitted to the staff. One deals with the removal of a parking spot that the Planning Commission was concerned might block a driveway and ingress and egress to the area. That parking spot has been eliminated. Secondly, the space between the fuel pumps has been shortened, which makes the canopy smaller.

MARGO WHEELER, Planning and Development Department, indicated that Condition #3 has been met and can remain in place. She suggested that language be changed to Condition #5 to state that the site plan must reflect the 10-foot wide sidewalk and the five-foot wide landscaping for the Multi-Use Transportation Trail along the east side of Eastern Avenue, in accordance with the provisions of the Transportation Trails Plan. The applicant agreed to that change.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

(2:25 – 2:28)

5-301

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The landscape plan shall be revised to depict landscaping within tree-wells along the roadway frontages as required by Section DS4 of the Downtown Centennial Plan. The applicant is encouraged to work with staff to satisfy this requirement.
2. The landscape/site plans shall be amended to portray a decorative iron fence installed adjacent to the inside of the landscape planter on the site as required by Section DS3 of the Downtown Centennial Plan. The applicant is encouraged to work with staff to satisfy this requirement.
3. The landscape plan shall be revised to indicate an eleven-foot wide sidewalk and a five-foot wide landscape planter along the entire length of Charleston Boulevard as required by the Downtown Centennial Plan.
4. Site development shall conform to the submitted plans and elevations, except as amended by conditions. The sight distance setback for the convenience store structure must be to the satisfaction of the Traffic Engineering Division and reflected as a change on the site plan if necessary.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 91 – SD-0039-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

5. The site plan must be revised to reflect the alignment of a Multi-Use Transportation Trail along the east side of Eastern Avenue, in accordance with the provisions of the Transportation Trails Plan.
6. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
7. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC Section 19A.08.060(C).
8. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
9. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.

Public Works

10. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site. If allowed by the City Engineer, improvements along Charleston Boulevard, Fremont Street and Eastern Avenue may be deferred until receipt of a written request from the City for such improvements. The applicant shall sign and record a Covenant Running with Land agreement for the possible future replacement of substandard improvements, including driveways, on Charleston Boulevard, Fremont Street and Eastern Avenue adjacent to this site prior to the issuance of any permits.
11. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. All new or modifications to existing driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a. Also, all new or modifications to existing driveways shall receive approval from the Nevada Department of Transportation.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 91 – SD-0039-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

12. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

13. Meet with the Flood Control Section of the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any permits for this site, to resolve any drainage related issues associated with development of this site.
14. Landscape and maintain all unimproved rights-of-way on Fremont Street and Charleston Boulevard adjacent to this site.
15. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements located in the Fremont Street and Charleston Boulevard public rights-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 91 – SD-0039-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

16. Submit an application for an Occupancy Permit for all landscaping and private improvements in the Eastern Avenue, Fremont Street and Charleston Boulevard public rights-of-way adjacent to this site prior to the issuance of any permits.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0057-02(1) - TOWN CENTER VENTURES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF PAGEANTRY HOMES** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 341-UNIT, MEDIUM DENSITY MIXED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT (CONSISTING OF 176 CONDOMINIUMS AND 165 APARTMENTS) on 20.0 acres (PROPOSED DENSITY OF 17.05 DWELLING UNITS PER ACRE) adjacent to the southwest corner of Fort Apache Road and Gilcrease Avenue (APN: 125-18-601-002 through 005), U (Undeveloped) Zone [M-TC (Medium Density Residential - Town Center) Land Use Designation], [PROPOSED: T-C (Town Center)] Zone Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions, amending the application to reflect 346 units and amending Condition #6 and #16 as follows:

6. The roof eaves on all sides of the building shall extend a minimum of 12 inches beyond the face of the building *or designed in such a way as to prevent rainwater from running against the face of the structure.*
16. *Prior to the time application is made for a building permit, detailed site and landscaping plan shall be approved by the Planning and Development Department staff that depict the landscaping and hardscaped areas within the Trail Alignments and the Amenity Zones as shown in the Town Center Development Standards. The landscape plan shall detail plant types, sizes, and locations as required by the Town Center Development Standards. All trees within any perimeter landscape areas shall conform to the landscaping standards of Town Center. Palm trees shall be at least*

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 92 – Z-0057-02(1)

MOTION – Continued:

25 feet in height. All other trees shall be 18 feet in height. The landscape plan shall include sufficient information to confirm conformance with spacing requirements.

and the following added conditions:

- *Within the triplex portion of this site, when two structures are located adjacent to each other, the windows located on the second floor of each unit shall be offset as structurally feasible to avoid facing directly into each other.*
- *Within the triplex portion of this site, when two structures are located adjacent to each other, the builder shall provide standard internal or external operable shutters to the first floor living room windows located immediately across from the entry door of the adjacent unit, unless the direct views can be mitigated by other means.*
- *Dedicate appropriate right-of-way for a circular “roundabout” at the southeast corner of the intersection of Teepee Lane and Gilcrease Avenue, similar to the approved roundabout at the intersection of Campbell Road and Dorrel Lane. Construct appropriate improvements for the future roundabout adjacent to this site; however, the central roundabout island itself shall not be constructed at this time, construction of the island shall be deferred until further development of the properties abutting the intersection.*

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

RUSSELL SKUSE, Tetra Tech, Inc., 401 North Buffalo Drive, #100, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated that his understanding was that a wall surrounding the proposed development would not be allowed in Town Center. Town Center should be open. COUNCILMAN MACK replied that that was not discussed in this particular item. There are other gated communities and walls in Town Center.

MARGO WHEELER, Planning and Development Department, pointed out that two conditions should be amended. In regards to Condition #6, language should be added to the end of the condition to state that the roof eaves should be designed in such a way as to prevent rainwater from running against the face of the structure. Condition #16 should be amended to read, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, detailed site and landscaping plan shall be approved by the Planning and Development Department staff that depict the landscaping and hardscaped areas within the Trail

Alignments and the Amenity Zones as shown in the Town Center Development Standards. The landscape plan shall detail plant types, sizes, and locations

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 92 – Z-0057-02(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

as required by the Town Center Development Standards. All trees within any perimeter landscape areas shall conform to the landscaping standards of Town Center. Palm trees shall be at least 25 feet in height. All other trees shall be 18 feet in height. The landscape plan shall include sufficient information to confirm conformance with spacing requirements. Additionally, MS. WHEELER read into the record two additional conditions and indicated that the approval shall be for a maximum of 346 units.

BART ANDERSON, Public Works, read into record an additional condition. He clarified for the applicant that this developer would not construct the island, but it will be constructed by others in the future, when the Traffic Department determines that the island is necessary. MR. SKUSE agreed to the amended and added conditions.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:28 – 2:32)

5-385

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Any single unit within the condominium portion of the project should have a 50 feet minimum straight unobstructed view, by another building wall, from any windows located on, at least, one side of the unit.
2. Any single unit within the condominium portion of the project should be within walking distance or within a maximum 250 feet, without the need of crossing a traffic lane or driveway, to a play/barbeque area.
3. A continuous row of trees, at least 24-inch box evergreen, planted 30-feet on-center maximum shall be located along the entire south property line. Clustering of trees are permitted within 50 feet of the property line, however, a minimum of one tree shall be planted within each private rear yard abutting the south property line.
4. Parking landscape for the entire project shall be provided at the required rate of one 24-inch box tree for every six parking spaces. Trees may be located within 50 feet of their required location.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 92 – Z-0057-02(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

5. An indication of the front door location shall be visible from the access drive serving that unit.
6. The roof eaves on all sides of the building shall extend a minimum of 12 inches beyond the face of the building.
7. The use of horizontal band on the elevations shall be consistent with the color scheme of the building. A different color shall be used on either side of the band.
8. The color palette used for each building shall include at least three colors. Variations from light to dark of the same color are not acceptable.
9. All ground floor doors or windows along the path of a unit entry door or when facing a common open space areas, as computed in the calculation to meet the open space requirements, shall be treated with architectural elements such as pop out, shutter, or pot shelves.
10. Air conditioning and ventilation equipment shall be screened from views, and shall include noise reduction insulation when located less than 25 feet from any adjoining residential unit.
11. Common open space areas, as computed in the calculation to meet the open space requirements, shall remain open at all time and shall be landscaped in such a way as to encourage the use of the open recreational areas.
12. Provide the required Multi-Use Trail along Fort Apache Road in accordance with Map Six of the Trails Element of the Master Plan. The trail shall be constructed concurrent with development of this site and be maintained by the Homeowner's Association.
13. The Tentative Map shall depict the required median within the Fort Apache Road right-of-way.
14. The standards for this development shall include the following: minimum distance between buildings of 10 feet, and building height shall not exceed two stories or 35 feet, whichever is less.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 92 – Z-0057-02(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

15. The setbacks for this development shall be ten feet from the exterior property lines and a minimum of ten feet between buildings.
16. Detailed site and landscaping plans shall be approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, that depict the landscaping and hardscaped areas within the Trail Alignments and the Amenity Zones as shown in the Town Center Development Standards. The landscape plan shall detail plant types, sizes, and locations as required by the Town Center Development Standards. Onsite trees shall conform to the landscaping standards of Town Center. Palm trees shall be at least 25 feet in height. All other trees shall be 18 feet in height. The landscape plan shall include sufficient information to confirm conformance with spacing requirements.
17. A detailed plan depicting sidewalk construction that complies with the Town Center Development Standards shall be approved by Planning and Development Department staff prior to the time application is made for a building permit.
18. A Rezoning (Z-0057-02) to a T-C (Town Center) Zoning District and a Special Use Permit (U-0094-02) for private streets approved by the City Council.
19. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
20. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
21. Prior to the submittal of a building permit, the applicant shall meet with Planning and Development Department staff to develop a comprehensive address plan for the subject site. A copy of the approved address plan shall be submitted with any future building permit applications related to the site.
22. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license where applicable.]

23. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 92 – Z-0057-02(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

24. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
25. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
26. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
27. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
28. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

29. A Master Streetlight Plan shall be submitted and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.
30. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in redesigning the proposed driveway layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
31. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
32. Meet with the Fire Protection Engineering Section of the Department of Fire Services prior to submittal of a Tentative Map for this site. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 92 – Z-0057-02(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

33. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-57-02 and all other subsequent site-related actions.
34. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0054-98(1) AND Z-0018-02(1) - CITY OF LAS VEGAS AND CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 9-ACRE PARK WITH BASEBALL/SOCCER FIELDS AND A CONCESSION BUILDING on property located adjacent to the southwest corner of Bonanza Road and Sandhill Road (APN's: 140-31-102-002 and 003), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to C-V (Civic), Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (3-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (3-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions and deleting Condition #2 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

JOHN McNELLIS, Deputy Director of Public Works Department, appeared on behalf of the City together with MIKE VLAOVICH, Architectural Project Manager. MR. McNELLIS concurred with staff's conditions, but suggested that the City Council might defer Condition #2 regarding the hours of lighting operations on the Site Development Plan Review or deal with it on Leisure Services Activities and programming issues. Neither Public Works nor Leisure Services staff had a problem with the condition as worded today. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE replied that the latter would be appropriate.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 93 – Z-0054-98(1) AND Z-0018-02(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. VLAOVICH presented the plan, explaining that the City partnered with the Clark County School District, who is donating some of their land from the existing Gregson Elementary School. A soccer field and two different size baseball fields will be fit onto the L shaped lot to accommodate a variety of age groups. The park will be used by the school district during school hours and for community use throughout. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE commended staff for the great job on this location. He pointed out that the baseball teams would be sharing this park with the fast-paced girls softball teams. This needed park will be a great addition for the entire community.

MR. McNELLIS stated that the City Council has pre-approved the award of this contract. The bids were opened last week. There may be a slight hold up with the Purchasing Department on a possible bid protest. Hopefully, within a couple of weeks, notice will be given to the contractor to proceed and construction should start within a month.

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development Department, verified with MAYOR PRO TEM REESE that Condition #2 be deleted.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:32 – 2:36)

5-528

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All on-site recreational lighting except security lighting (including the soccer field and two ball fields) shall not extend past 10:00 PM.
3. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Lighting standards within the parking lots shall be no more than 27 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC section 19A.08.060(C).

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 93 – Z-0054-98(1) AND Z-0018-02(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

4. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan, landscape plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
5. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.
6. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
7. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
8. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

9. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.
10. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-18-02, Z-54-98, and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

MAJOR MODIFICATION TO THE LAS VEGAS MEDICAL DISTRICT PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0020-97(39)** - **CLARK COUNTY CREDIT UNION** - Request for a Major Modification to the Las Vegas Medical District Plan to change the Land Use Designation FROM: P-O (Professional Office) TO: MD-1 (Medical Support) on 0.84 acres located adjacent to the southeast corner of Bearden Drive and Shadow Lane (APN's: 139-33-402-013 and 023), PD (Planned Development) Zone, [PROPOSED USE: FINANCIAL INSTITUTION], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****1****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to condition – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID ELLERSTEN, 103 East Charleston Boulevard, appeared on behalf of Clark County Credit Union and concurred with staff's conditions for Item 94 [Z-0020-97(39)], Item 96 [U-0110-02] and Item 97 [Z-0020-97(40)].

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY indicated that staff worked diligently on the Medical District Plan. After receiving numerous telephone calls from employees who work in the various doctors' offices, as well as the hospital, this banking facility will provide a great service to them. He

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 94 – Z-0020-97(39)

MINUTES – Continued:

commended MARGO WHEELER, Planning and Development Department, for working on the conditions and not eliminating the landscaping requirement, as well as eliminating the drive-thru. This would cut down the excessive traffic in the neighborhood. It was being said that this would set a precedent because it is a stand-alone facility. However, any future applications could be reviewed on a case-by-case basis. Based on the conditions imposed by staff and on the aesthetics, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY believes this would make a good component for the neighborhood.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated the proposed bank does not fit with the Medical District, which should be high intense use with multi-story buildings. The bank should share the first floor within another building.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 97 [Z-0020-97(39)] for related discussion.

(2:36 – 2:43)

5-647

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to the policies of the Las Vegas Medical District Plan.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE RELATED TO Z0020-97(39) - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0064-02 - CLARK COUNTY CREDIT UNION** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW A DETACHED ACCESSORY STRUCTURE (AUTOMATIC TELLER MACHINE) IN THE FRONT YARD, WHERE IT IS NOT ALLOWED, on property located adjacent to the southeast corner of Bearden Drive and Shadow Lane (APN's 139-33-402-013 and 023), PD (Planned Development) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****1****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter
5. Submitted after final agenda – Letter requesting the withdrawal of the Variance

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to WITHDRAW WITH PREJUDICE Item 95 [V-0064-02], HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 106 [SNC-0002-99(1)] to 12/18/2002, Item 124 [U-0108-02] to 12/4/2002, Item 127 [Z-0054-02], 128 [Z-0054-02(1)], 131 [Z-0069-02], Item 132 [Z-0069-02(1)], to 11/06/2002 - UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(2:15 – 2:20)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO Z-0020-97(39) AND V-0064-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0110-02 - CLARK COUNTY CREDIT UNION** - Appeal filed by Jawa Studio from the Denial by the Planning Commission on a request for a Special Use Permit TO ALLOW A STAND-ALONE FINANCIAL INSTITUTION WITHIN THE LAS VEGAS MEDICAL DISTRICT on property located adjacent to the southeast corner of Bearden Drive and Shadow Lane (APN's: 139-33-402-013 and 023), PD (Planned Development) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****2****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter filed by Jawa Studio
5. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Granting the Appeal; thereby APPROVING the Special Use Permit subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID ELLERSTEN, 103 East Charleston Boulevard, appeared on behalf of Clark County Credit Union and concurred with staff's conditions for Item 94 [Z-0020-97(39)], Item 96 [U-0110-02] and Item 97 [Z-0020-97(40)].

There was no discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 96 – U-0110-02

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 94 [Z-0020-97(39)] and Item 97 [Z-0020-97(40)] for related discussion.

(2:36 – 2:43)

5-647

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to the applicable policies of the Las Vegas Medical District Plan.
2. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Major Modification [Z-0020-97(39)] and Site Development Plan Review [Z-0020-97(40)].
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0020-97(39), V-0064-02 AND U-0110-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0020-97(40) - CLARK COUNTY CREDIT UNION** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Reduction of the On-site Landscape Requirement FOR A 2,835 SQUARE FOOT FINANCIAL INSTITUTION WITHIN THE LAS VEGAS MEDICAL DISTRICT on 0.84 acres located adjacent to the southeast corner of Bearden Drive and Shadow Lane (APN's: 139-33-402-013 and 023), PD (Planned Development) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****1****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions and deleting Condition #4 as currently written and replace with the following language:

4. A new site plan removing the drive-thru and detached ATM and meeting all landscaping requirements shall be submitted to the Planning and Development Department for approval prior to the issuance of any permits.

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID ELLERSTEN, 103 East Charleston Boulevard, appeared on behalf of Clark County Credit Union and concurred with staff's conditions for Item 94 [Z-0020-97(39)], Item 96 [U-0110-02] and Item 97 [Z-0020-97(40)].

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 97 – Z-0020-97(40)

MINUTES – Continued:

MARGO WHEELER, Planning and Development Department, indicated that Condition #4 as written should be deleted and replaced with language that a new site plan removing the drive-thru and detached ATM and meeting all landscaping requirements shall be submitted to the Planning and Development Department for approval prior to the issuance of any permits.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 94 [U-0110-02] for related discussion.

(2:36 – 2:43)

5-647

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of Major Modification [Z-0020-97(39)] by the City Council.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. Reconfigure the drive aisle located on the southeast portion of the site to allow for a 5-foot landscape planter.
5. The site plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect the Type A Streetscape requirements for the Shadow Lane frontage of the site and the Type B Streetscape requirements for the Bearden Drive frontage of the site, as illustrated on Map 2 of the Las Vegas Medical District Plan.
6. Prior to the submittal of a building permit, the applicant shall meet with Planning and Development Department staff to develop a comprehensive address plan for the subject site. A copy of the approved address plan shall be submitted with any future building permit applications related to the site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 97 – Z-0020-97(40)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect minimum 24-inch box trees planted a maximum of 20 feet on-center and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within provided planters.
8. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission and City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
9. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
10. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
11. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
12. A Master Sign Plan shall be submitted for approval of the Planning Commission and City Council prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for any building on the site.
13. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
14. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
15. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.

16. Two bicycle parking spaces that meet the requirements of the Las Vegas Medical District Plan shall be provided.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 97 – Z-0020-97(40)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

17. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

18. Dedicate an additional 5 feet of right-of-way for a total radius of 15 feet on the southeast corner of Shadow Lane and Bearden Road prior to the issuance of any permits. Meet with the Right-Of-Way section of Public Works for assistance in preparing the documentation.
19. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
20. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout, including the possible relocation of the Shadow Lane entrance to align with Goldring Avenue prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.
21. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements located in the public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to issuance of any permits for this site.
22. Grant pedestrian walkway easements for all public sidewalks not located within public right-of-way.
23. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any permits, or the submittal of any construction drawings for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 97 – Z-0020-97(40)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional Traffic Control devices are proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

MAJOR MODIFICATION TO THE IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH MASTER PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0016-98(23)** - **IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH ALLIANCE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ET AL ON BEHALF OF PRESIDING BISHOP OF THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-DAY SAINTS** - Request for a Major Modification to the Iron Mountain Ranch Master Plan TO REMOVE APPROXIMATELY 440 ACRES FROM THE OVERALL PLAN AND TO EXPUNGE THE RESOLUTION OF INTENT TO R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development – 2 Units per Acre) THAT APPLIES TO THIS SITE, located adjacent to the west side of Thom Boulevard, approximately 700 feet north of Horse Drive (Portion of APN: 125-12-602-002), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units per Acre), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

KEVIN REISCH, Gary Miller Architects and Associates, 624 South 9th Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with all staff's conditions.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated that in regards to Item 100 [Z-0016-98(24)], there should be more grass landscaping.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 98 – Z-0016-98(23)

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion for Item 98 [Z-0016-98(23)], Item 99 [U-0112-02] and Item 100 [Z-0016-98(24)] was held under Item 98 [Z-0016-98(23)].

(2:43 – 2:41)

5-904

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Parking lot lights shall be restricted to a maximum height of 15 feet and shall be shielded to prevent light spillage onto adjacent residential properties.
2. Handicap parking shall be revised to incorporate required access aisles on each side of handicap spaces.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO Z-0016-98(23) - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0112-02** - **IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH ALLIANCE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ET AL ON BEHALF OF PRESIDING BISHOP OF THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-DAY SAINTS** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A CHURCH/HOUSE OF WORSHIP on 4.40 acres located adjacent to the west side of Thom Boulevard, approximately 700 feet north of Horse Drive (Portion of APN: 125-12-602-002), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development – 2 Units per Acre), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****1****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Received after final agenda – Protest letter from Richard Nelson

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

KEVIN REISCH, Gary Miller Architects and Associates, 624 South 9th Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with all staff's conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 99 – U-0112-02

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion for Item 98 [Z-0016-98(23)], Item 99 [U-0112-02] and Item 100 [Z-0016-98(24)] was held under Item 98 [Z-0016-98(23)].

(2:43 – 2:41)

5-904

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0016-98(23) AND U-0012-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0016-98(24) - IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH ALLIANCE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ET AL ON BEHALF OF PRESIDING BISHOP OF THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-DAY SAINTS** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and to allow turf where it is prohibited in Public Facility Districts FOR A 19,288 SQUARE FOOT CHURCH/HOUSE OF WORSHIP on 4.40 acres located adjacent to the west side of Thom Boulevard, approximately 700 feet north of Horse Drive (Portion of APN: 125-12-602-002), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development – 2 Units per Acre), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition #8 limiting the height of the parking lot lighting standards to a maximum of 15 feet – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 100 - Z-0016-98(24)

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion for Item 98 [Z-0016-98(23)], Item 99 [U-0112-02] and Item 100 [Z-0016-98(24)] was held under Item 98 [Z-0016-98(23)].

(2:43 – 2:41)

5-904

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Major Modification to remove the site from the Iron Mountain Ranch Master Plan and from the R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Unites per Acre), to leave the site zoned R-E (Residence Estates) [Z-0016-98(23)] approved by the City Council.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect minimum 24-inch box trees planted a maximum of 20 feet on-center and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within provided planters.
5. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.
6. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
7. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 100 - Z-0016-98(24)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

8. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
9. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
10. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials and compatible with Iron Mountain Ranch standards. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
11. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
12. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

13. Submit a Petition of Vacation to vacate the west 4.5 feet of Thom Boulevard from Horse Drive to the north edge of the overall parcel (APN #125-12-602-002). Such Petition of Vacation shall be acted upon prior to the issuance of any permits for this site.
14. Parcel Map PM-21-02 must record prior to the issuance of any permits for this site.
15. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving on Horse Drive, Thom Boulevard and Unicorn Street adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Additionally, construct two lanes of paved, legal access to this site on Thom Boulevard from Horse Drive concurrent with construction. Temporary “Goecke Road” pavement may be used for this access road. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 100 - Z-0016-98(24)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

16. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to extend public sewer in Thom Boulevard to the north edge of this development to a depth and location acceptable to the City Engineer.
17. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.
18. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

19. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site,

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 100 - Z-0016-98(24)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

RESCIND PREVIOUS ACTION - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0017-02(1) - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request by City Council to Rescind the Previous Action of APPROVAL for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED CITY PARK on approximately 156 acres located adjacent to the south side of Washington Avenue between Buffalo Drive and Durango Drive and adjacent to the west side of Durango Drive between Summerlin Parkway and Vegas Drive (APN: 138-28-301-002, portions of 138-29-501-007 and 138-29-601-003), U (Undeveloped) Zone [ROW (Right-Of-Way) General Plan Designation] and C-V (Civic) Zones [PROPOSED: C-V (Civic)], Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). **(NOTE: The correct Ward designation is Ward 4 (Brown) pursuant to Ward Redistricting effective 6/5/2002).** Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:**BROWN – APPROVED the rescission – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused****MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that he does not foresee any problems with Item 102 [Z-0017-02(1)] as long as it keeps the integrity of the original adoption. More will be added to Phase 1A and 1B of the project.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 101 – Z-0017-02(1)

MINUTES - Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO REM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 102 Z-0017-02(1) for related discussion.

(2:41 – 3:09)

5-1027

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0017-02(1) - CITY OF LAS VEGAS - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED CITY PARK on approximately 156 acres located adjacent to the south side of Washington Avenue between Buffalo Drive and Durango Drive and adjacent to the west side of Durango Drive between Summerlin Parkway and Vegas Drive (APN: 138-28-301-002, portions of 138-29-501-007 and 138-29-601-003), U (Undeveloped) Zone [ROW (Right-Of-Way) General Plan Designation] and C-V (Civic) Zones [PROPOSED: C-V (Civic)], Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). **(NOTE: The correct Ward designation is Ward 4 (Brown) pursuant to Ward Redistricting effective 6/5/2002).** Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****N/A****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****N/A****City Council Meeting****2****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:**BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions and deleting Conditions #6 and #7****– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused****MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

JOHN McNELLIS, Deputy Director of Public Works, and CLAIR LEWIS, appeared on behalf of the City, together with CARY BAIRD, Design Consultant, Stantec Consulting Inc. MR. McNELLIS explained that the master plan is being revised for the overall Washington/Buffalo Park site. This is 156-acre park, which will be developed in multiple phases. The original

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 102 – Z-0017-02(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

master plan has been modified. The tennis complex has been relocated and there will be 23 courts within that complex. The soccer functions on the site have been expanded and there still will be an amphitheater on the site. The walking and jogging paths have been enhanced throughout the entire south portion of the park. In addition, there will be a dog park area. MR. McNELLIS indicated that COUNCILMAN BROWN has had a neighborhood meeting where he received support from the neighborhood. MR. McNELLIS concurred with the conditions, with the exception of Conditions #6 and #7. He asked that Condition #6, the landscaping, and Condition #7, the lighting of the facility, be deferred during the Site Development Plan Review. He understands Planning staff's direction, but it would depend on whether or not the 36-inch trees can be budgeted. The trees along the edge would certainly be an enhancement and this could be worked out. He feels that it would be more appropriate to discuss the landscaping during the Site Development Plan Review.

MIKE GIFFORD, 2428 Ginger Lily Lane, appeared in support of the proposed project. He is a tennis player and this type of facility is badly needed. COUNCILMAN McDONALD announced that MR. GIFFORD'S tennis team qualified for the nationals to be held in Palm Springs.

MIRIAM IQBAL, 1520 Champions Hills Lane, felt that the Sportpark would be a good use for indoor tennis courts. She is pleased to live in this neighborhood. She hopes that the City Council will take into consideration the traffic impact this project might have. The Buffalo Drive exit is a very congested intersection. The existing left-turn lane will not be adequate once this park is operational. She is also concerned about the ability to police a park of this size. She does not want to see this park turn into Central Park. She suggested that an on-site police substation be located within the park to adequately handle this ambitious plan. MS. IQBAL mentioned that there have been rats in the area and wondered if the water reclamation site on Rampart Boulevard and the Farmers Market located at the corner of Rampart Boulevard and Vegas Drive have produced this problem. A park of this size needs to be planned for any kind of issues that may arise, and she hopes the Council has given that consideration.

JOANNA BROOKS, Board Member of the Silver State Girls Soccer League, indicated she represents 240 girl soccer teams, equal to 3600 girls, ranging between the ages of five and eighteen. They are delighted with the proposed park. MS. BROOKS indicated that years ago she worked with former COUNCILMAN ARNIE ADAMSEN to put a reservation on this property because new soccer fields were going to be needed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 102 – Z-0017-02(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

JOHN MILLEN, 8429 Justine Court, Board Member of the Silver State Girls Soccer League and Coach with the Premier Soccer Club, which has girls and boys between the age of eight to eighteen years old. MR. MILLEN thanked COUNCILMAN BROWN for his extensive efforts in bringing the ten soccer fields into the proposed park. There is a tremendous need for additional soccer fields. Currently, forty soccer teams are cramped together, and it is hard to conduct practices. Many games are played at night because there are not enough fields for games to be played on Saturdays. The proposed soccer fields will alleviate those night games. The addition of the 10 proposed soccer fields together with the Bettye Wilson Soccer Complex fields, will help bring major soccer tournaments at regional and national levels into Las Vegas, which will bring a tremendous amount of income into the City.

JACK LESTER, 3350 North Durango Drive, appeared in support as a soccer dad and a soccer coach. He has been active in the soccer community for 10 years. He thanked the City Council for their leadership in this community, relative to parks, and specifically for the soccer community. He particularly thanked the Council for their consideration of the unfenced fields that will be lit. Currently, his team plays on the other side of town because there is not enough space at the Bettye Wilson Soccer Complex. Secondly, there is a shortage of fields for practice, and the Gowan Basin cannot handle the amount of people trying to practice at the same time.

COUNCILMAN BROWN commented that COUNCILMAN ADAMSEN secured the RP&P and at that time they were looking to do the partnership with the Premier Soccer League. Then it was handed to COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD, who has driven this process, took down this 150-acre regional park and like the soccer community, came up with the same concept of having a tennis facility. That remains a critical part of the first Phase 1A. As much as he has added a few of the lit soccer fields, he emphasized that the integrity of the park has been retained. He thanked COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD'S leadership and the Council for their support this far.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD stated that she likes the new master plan design. She asked whether Phase 1 and Phase 1A are a part of Phase 1 or they are separate. COUNCILMAN BROWN replied that some of the phases have been consolidated. The priority has been and remains the tennis facility. Phase 1A and the rest of Phase 1 will be designed as a project, but it will enable the bid package to go out all at one time if money is available, or Phase 1A and then the remainder of Phase 1 and 1B could be a second construction package. The City will remain aggressive in trying to find all the money needed to take this entire corridor to do the project all at one time to minimize the impact on the neighborhood.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 102 – Z-0017-02(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

In light of COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD'S neighborhood meetings and comments received over the past year, a look is being taken at the corridor itself. The linear park component of the Washington Avenue frontage will be created as a bermed buffer for the neighborhood and the tennis complex will remain intact as originally planned. There was enough open space in the original design to accommodate 10 soccer fields, but the added light component formalizes that, leaving a dog park run, an open space for some other sports and the amphitheater.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD remarked that another important aspect of this entire project worth mentioning is that the RTC (Regional Transportation Commission) will work on the interchange at Durango Drive or half interchange to be able to get on or off of the Summerlin Parkway. MR. McNELLIS added that currently the master plan does not have the exact footprint of how the interchange will impact this site. It is estimated that there will be an off-bound east ramp.

COUNCILMAN BROWN mentioned that the community center component has not been abandoned, but in light of the fact that Sportspark is currently operating under bankruptcy protection, and if the City someday gets that back or gets involved in that entire bankruptcy process, that offers a lot of opportunities to incorporate into the City programming. Therefore, that community center is deferred for the current time to see what other options prevail after this Phase 1A and 1B. COUNCILMAN BROWN moved to approve the item, deferring Conditions #6 and #7 until a detailed Site Development Plan Review comes back into the process.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 101 [Z-0017-02(1) – Rescission] for related discussion.

NOTE: See Item 63 [Professional Services Agreement with Stantec Consulting, Inc.] for additional discussion.

(2:41 – 3:09)

5-1027

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 102 – Z-0017-02(1)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A detailed Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning and Development Department for each phase of the overall site development prior to approval of issuance or any permits, any site grading, and all development activity on this site.
2. The site plans submitted with each detailed Site Development Plan Review application shall depict parking and access areas within the planning phase, prior to approval of issuance or any permits, any site grading, and all development activity on this site.
3. Building elevations submitted with each detailed Site Development Plan Review application shall depict detailed building elevations reflecting varied rooflines, building roofline accent features and regularly-spaced vertical facade elements on all sides of each building, prior to approval of issuance or any permits, any site grading, and all development activity on this site. In addition, all of the elevations shall include elevation materials and colors proposed.
4. Landscape plans shall be submitted with each detailed Site Development Plan Review application and shall depict individual plant types along with size and spacing of the individual plant materials.
5. The site plans submitted with each detailed Site Development Plan Review application shall incorporate design standards for pedestrian pathways including conceptual landscaping of pathway right-of-way areas, and cross-sections of the pathways, prior to approval of issuance or any permits, any site grading, and all development activity on this site.
6. The landscape plan shall be amended to depict at least 36-inch box trees, including at least 50% evergreen, within the planters adjacent to the north (Washington & Vegas) and east (Durango) property boundaries.
7. The lighting of the five soccer fields and 23 tennis courts shall not extend past 10:00 PM.
8. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Lighting standards within the parking lots shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 102 – Z-0017-02(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

9. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
10. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC section 19A.08.060(C).
11. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.
12. A landscaping plan shall be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.
13. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
14. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

15. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first.
16. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-0017-02 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

MASTER SIGN PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - MSP-0005-02 - FARM AND ALEXANDER PROPERTIES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF CITY DEVELOPMENT GROUP - Request for a Master Sign Plan Review for a proposed shopping center located on the southeast corner of Alexander Road and Tenaya Way (APN: 138-10-516-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions and the following added conditions:

- There shall be no signage on the east side of the future pad building.
- There shall be no signage on the south side of the storage building.

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY SCOTT EATON, Lionel, Sawyer and Collins, 300 South 4th Street, and GREG BORGEL, appeared on behalf of the applicant. ATTORNEY EATON concurred with staff's conditions, and mentioned that the Planning Commission imposed an additional condition concerning deleting all neon that was proposed for this particular project. Since the Planning Commission meeting, two additional concerns have been raised, and the developer is willing to accept additional conditions to address those concerns. Even though a landscaping buffer has been provided for the residential neighbors to the south of the project, it has been suggested that

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 103 – MSP-0005-02

MINUTES – Continued:

those neighbors would not appreciate having that sign there. Therefore, the developer is more than willing to remove that sign from the project and will agree to a condition to that affect. Similarly, it has been suggested that the apartment dwelling east of the project would not appreciate having a sign on that side of the future pad site building. The developer is willing to accept a condition not to have any signage on the east side of the future pad site building.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated the neon signage should be increased. This town was built on neon.

MARGO WHEELER, Planning and Development Department, read into the record the verbiage for the additional conditions to state that there be no signage on the east side of the future pad building and no signage on the south side of the storage building. ATTORNEY EATON concurred with the added conditions.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:09 – 3:13)

5-1908

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. All signage shall have proper permits obtained through the Building and Safety Department.
2. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for the Site Development Plan Review [Z-0025-88(9)] and all other subsequent site-related actions as required by the Planning and Development Department.
3. Any changes or alterations to this Master Sign Plan shall require an application for a new Master Sign Plan.
4. Wall signage is permitted on the faces for up to 20% of the building elevation. The sign shall not project beyond the top or sides of the building and to comply with Section 19A.14.060(F)(11)(b).

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 103 – MSP-0005-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

5. Illumination of signs shall comply with Section 19A.14.070 Residential Protection Standards.
6. Raceways, when surface mounted to the exterior of the building, shall be no more than 7 inches in depth and shall be painted to match the wall color.
7. The Monument signs shall be setback a minimum of five feet from any public right-of-way. In addition, the sign shall be set back from any driveway or street intersection so as not to create a sight restriction.
8. No neon components shall be utilized in signage developed in accordance with this Master Sign Plan.

Public Works

9. All signage shall be situated as to not interfere with Sight Visibility Restriction Zones; final sign locations shall be approved by the Traffic Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

MASTER SIGN PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - **MSP-0006-02 - SEA BREEZE VILLAGE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Master Sign Plan FOR A RETAIL/OFFICE COMPLEX on property located adjacent to the southeast corner of Buffalo Drive and Sea Spray Avenue (APN's: 138-22-418-003 and 004), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

RICHARD KIRSCH, Sign Innovation, 242 Sunpac Court, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:13 – 3:14)

5-2040

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 104 – MSP-0006-02

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. All signage shall have proper permits obtained through the Building and Safety Department.
2. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for the Site Development Plan Review [Z-0097-90(7)] and all other subsequent site-related actions as required by the Planning and Development Department.
3. Any changes or alterations to this Master Sign Plan shall require an application for a new Master Sign Plan.
4. Wall signage is permitted on the faces for up to 20% of the building elevation. The sign shall not project beyond the top or sides of the building and to comply with Section 19A.14.060(F)(11)(b).
5. Illumination of signs shall comply with Section 19A.14.070 Residential Protection Standards.
6. The Freestanding and Monument signs shall be setback a minimum of five feet from any public right-of-way. In addition, the sign shall be set back from any driveway or street intersection so as not to create a sight restriction.

Public Works

7. All signage shall be situated as to not interfere with Sight Visibility Restriction Zones; final sign locations shall be approved by the Traffic Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - MASTER PLAN OF STREETS AND HIGHWAYS - PUBLIC HEARING - **MSH-0006-02 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request to amend the Master Plan of Streets and Highways to reduce the width of Moccasin Road from 120-feet to 100-feet from Kyle Canyon Road to Decatur Boulevard, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

BART ANDERSON, Public Works, represented the City and concurred with staff's conditions.

COUNCILMAN MACK indicated that reducing the street from 120 to 100 feet would not directly impact the City's quest for master planning in the northwest area, as additional discussions are held with the state and the federal government on what will happen out there.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:14 – 3:16)

5-2071

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 105 – MSH-0006-02

CONDITIONS:

Public Works

1. Upon development, additional rights-of-way for dedicated right turn lanes, dual left turn lanes, and/or appropriate transition elements shall be required at the discretion of the Traffic Engineer.
2. City Staff is empowered to modify this application, if necessary, because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City of Las Vegas requirements are still complied with and the intent of the submitted Master Plan of Streets and Highways is not changed.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

STREET NAME CHANGE - PUBLIC HEARING - SNC-0002-99(1) - MYSTIC VALLEY HOME OWNERS ASSOCIATION - Request for a Street Name Change FROM: Mike Andress Avenue TO: Mystic Valley Avenue located between Durango Drive and Desert Night Street, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to WITHDRAW WITH PREJUDICE Item 95 [V-0064-02], HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 106 [SNC-0002-99(1)] to 12/18/2002, Item 124 [U-0108-02] to 12/4/2002, Item 127 [Z-0054-02], 128 [Z-0054-02(1)], 131 [Z-0069-02], Item 132 [Z-0069-02(1)], to 11/06/2002 - UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK requested that Item 106 [SNC-0002-99(1)] be held in abeyance to the 12/18/2002 City Council meeting. The community is asking the street name be changed from Michael Andress to Mystic Valley Avenue. Before action is taken, he would like to locate another street for the ANDRESS family, who has contributed so much to the community.

There was no further discussion.

(2:15 – 2:20)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0061-02 - GREAT AMERICAN CAPITAL AND SAHARA BUSINESS PARK - Petition to vacate U.S. Government Patent Easements generally located on the north side of Sahara Avenue, approximately 980 feet east of Cimarron Road, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

MICHAEL PATRY, Wright Engineers, Inc., 7310 Smoke Ranch Road, Suite R, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:16 – 3:17)

5-2149

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 107 – VAC-0061-02

CONDITIONS:

1. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Relinquishment of Interest.
2. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments.
3. Development of this site shall comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-12-00.
4. The Order of Relinquishment of Interest shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #1 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
5. If the Order of Relinquishment of Interest is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or the Planning Commission does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0062-02 - PARDEE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY NEVADA - Petition to vacate portions of Chieftain Street and Haley Avenue generally located between Deer Springs Way and Dorrell Lane, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

MIKE LUDWIG, 1555 South Rainbow, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:17 – 3:18)

5-2191

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 108 – VAC-0062-02

CONDITIONS:

1. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other related drainage information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation for this application. Appropriate drainage easements shall be reserved if recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study.
2. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
3. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required.
4. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments.
5. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #2 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
6. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or the Planning Commission does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0055-02 - DOROTHY BUSET TRUST** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW A PROPOSED CARPORT WITH A 10 FOOT FRONT YARD SETBACK WHERE 20 FEET IS THE MINIMUM SETBACK REQUIRED at 2817 Gilmary Avenue (APN: 162-05-616-015), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

10

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DOROTHY BUSET, 2817 Gilmary Avenue, asked that the City Council approve the Variance for the carport.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:18 – 3:19)

5-2233

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 109 – V-0055-02

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Variance shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The front setback shall be no less than ten (10) feet from the front property line for the carport structure.
3. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
4. Submit complete plans to the Department of Building and Safety for review and permits.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0056-02 - FAUSTO VEGA FLORES** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW A PROPOSED CARPORT WITH A 10 FOOT FRONT YARD SETBACK WHERE 20 FOOT IS THE MINIMUM SETBACK REQUIRED at 804 Bracken Avenue (APN: 162-03-613-002), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

A representative for FAUSTO FLORES asked for the City Council's approval.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, commented that a garage already exists.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:19 – 3:20)

5-2282

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 110 – V-0056-02

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Variance shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The front setback shall be no less than ten (10) feet from the front property line for the carport structure.
3. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
4. Submit complete plans to the Department of Building and Safety for review and permits.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0058-02 - GRAND CANYON PARTNERS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW FIVE-FOOT WIDE SIDEWALKS, WHERE MINIMUM SEVEN-FOOT SIDEWALKS OR WHEEL STOPS AND/OR CURBING ARE REQUIRED on 18.8 acres located adjacent to the east side of Grand Canyon Drive, approximately 1,300 feet north of Grand Teton Road (APN: 125-07-701-004), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to PD (Planned Development), Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition #2 that sidewalks shall be provided at a minimum width of five (5) feet and the L curb be installed in all parking areas – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

JAMES GRINDSTAFF, 2230 Corporate Circle, appeared on behalf of the applicant and explained that the waiver only pertains to those sidewalks immediately adjacent to parking stalls. They are asking for the five-foot only adjacent to the parking stalls and those that only have accessible route issues. Based on the Fair Housing Act, they will be providing the 35-inch clear space required for accessibility on this site. Of course, the ADA does not necessarily apply to

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 111 – V-0058-02

MINUTES – Continued:

multi-family, but to commercial development. Accessibility will be provided throughout the site. The Fair Housing Act actually allows taking an accessible route, driving from one point of the site to another accessible route, getting out and then accessing the dwelling units. Through the balance of the site, on the interior, four-foot sidewalks will be provided, which are more than adequate, even under the Fair Housing Act or ADA requirements.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated that the Public Safety element of the 2020 Master Plan indicates that the City be pedestrian friendly. He does not support the Variance. He asked DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT if the five-foot sidewalk is to be unobstructed. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY SCOTT replied that there is no provision that states whether it is obstructed or unobstructed. If they meet certain full requirements, they would be compliant with ADA requirements. MR. FARLOW pointed out that if a car sticks out onto the sidewalk, people would have to walk into the street.

MR. GRINDSTAFF clarified that the Variance request is not for a public street, but inside a gated community. It would not affect the ability for the public to move beyond one site to another. They are still providing the adequate five-foot sidewalks that exist on the public-right-of-way. Additionally, the ADA needs a 36-inch minimum clearance requirement. For that reason, they are providing a five-foot sidewalk in order to comply with the ADA requirement.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:20 – 3:26)

5-2339

CONDITIONS:

1. This Variance shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. No wheel stops shall be required; however sidewalks will be provided at a minimum width of six (6) feet throughout the project.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0024-99(2) - **KATHY LIGHTFOOT** - Required One Year Review on an approved Special Use Permit WHICH ALLOWED BEER AND WINE SALES FOR OFF-PREMISE CONSUMPTION IN CONJUNCTION WITH A CONVENIENCE STORE at 1600 North Jones Boulevard (APN: 138-24-401-002), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

REGGIE KOSAPH, 1600 North Jones Boulevard, concurred with staff's conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:26 – 3:27)

5-2615

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 112 – U-0024-99(2)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This use shall be subject to review by the Planning Commission and the City Council one year from the final approval of this Special Use Permit at which time the Special Use Permit may be revoked.
2. On-site advertising shall comply with all City standards; all illegal advertising signs shall be removed.
3. The use shall comply with all previous conditions of approval.
4. All City code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0037-95(2) - **RANCHO DRIVE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING** - Required Two Year Review on an approved Special Use Permit WHICH ALLOWED A 40-FOOT HIGH, 14-FOOT BY 48-FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN on property located adjacent to the east side of Rancho Drive, approximately 200 feet south of Rainbow Boulevard (APN: 125-35-401-001), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining because he has a possible business agreement with an executive for another billboard company and GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO REM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

SCOTT NAFTZGER, Lamar Outdoor Advertising, 1863 Helm Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 113 – U-0037-95(2)

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:27 – 3:28)

5-2655

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in two (2) years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19 has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
3. The off-premise advertising sign (billboard) supporting structure shall be designed to finish materials to complement the existing on-site building. The entire face-area of both sides of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign shall be signage area or its border framework; none of the supporting structure shall be visible aside from the support pole.
4. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.
5. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments shall be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0038-95(2) - **HALSTAN, INC. ON BEHALF OF LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING COMPANY** - Required Two Year Review on an approved Special Use Permit WHICH ALLOWED A 40-FOOT HIGH, 14-FOOT BY 48-FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN at 3500 North Rancho Drive (APN: 138-12-710-044), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining because he has a possible business agreement with an executive for another billboard company and GOODMAN excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that he has a business relationship with Kubik Advertising, which has a relationship with Environmental Health Services, a client of the company adjacent to this area. He has not discussed this item and believes it will not affect his vote; therefore he would vote on the item.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

SCOTT NAFTZGER, Lamar Outdoor Advertising, 1863 Helm Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 114 – U-0038-95(2)

MINUTES – Continued:

AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas, stated that he has seen some Lamar billboard signs without advertisements on them. The advertisements appear only when they come before the City Council for another request.

COUNCILMAN MACK thanked the applicant for addressing the issue of shielding lights in the Timberlake area. He requested that the applicant address the pigeon problems affecting this particular billboard.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO REM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:28 – 3:31)

5-2732

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in two (2) years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19 has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
3. The off-premise advertising sign (billboard) supporting structure shall be designed to finish materials to complement the existing on-site building. The entire face-area of both sides of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign shall be signage area or its border framework; none of the supporting structure shall be visible aside from the support pole.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 114 – U-0038-95(2)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

4. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.
5. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments shall be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0042-95(3) - S & S #2, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING - Appeal filed by Lamar Outdoor Advertising from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a Required Two Year Review on an approved Special Use Permit WHICH ALLOWED A 30-FOOT HIGH, 14-FOOT BY 48-FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN at 410 North Eastern Avenue (APN: 139-36-210-003), R-3 (Medium Density Residential) Zone under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (3-2 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (3-2 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter filed by Lamar Outdoor Advertising
5. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Joe M. Lemos, Jr.

MOTION:

REESE – Granted the Appeal; thereby APPROVING the Required Two Year Review on an approved Special Use Permit subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining because he has a possible business agreement with an executive for another billboard company and GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

SCOTT NAFTZGER, Lamar Outdoor Advertising, 1863 Helm Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 115 – U-0042-95(3)

MINUTES – Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, pointed out that the income derived from the billboard is not being used to maintain the property. It seems that the property is cleaned only once a year.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE replied that there are different owners on that property. Kentucky Fried Chicken owns some of that property. If there will be any future concerns, the property will be taken care of.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:31 – 3:33)

5-2862

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in two (2) years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19 has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
3. The off-premise advertising sign (billboard) supporting structure shall be designed to finish materials to complement the existing on-site building. The entire face-area of both sides of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign shall be signage area or its border framework; none of the supporting structure shall be visible aside from the support pole.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 115 – U-0042-95(3)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

4. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.
5. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments shall be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0089-02 - KRISHNA INC. ON BEHALF OF BAHRAM-GANJEI** - Appeal filed by Bahram Ganjei from the denial by the Planning Commission on a request for a Special Use Permit FOR THE SALE OF BEER AND WINE FOR OFF-PREMISE CONSUMPTION IN CONJUNCTION WITH AN EXISTING MARKET on property located at 124 South 6th Street (APN: 139-34-611-051), C-2 (General Commercial), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter filed by Bahram Ganjei
5. Submitted after Final Agenda – Support letter from Joseph Lawrence

MOTION:

WEEKLY – WITHDRAWN WITHOUT PREJUDICE – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY indicated that he had conversations with the applicant to discuss the Planning staff's concern related to this particular item. The applicant agreed to withdraw the application without prejudice.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 116 – U-0089-02

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:33 – 3:34)

5-2967

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0045-02 - BRIAN AND JULIE LEE & GARY LICKER** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A LIQUOR ESTABLISHMENT (TAVERN) adjacent to the southeast corner of Alexander Road and Cliff Shadows Parkway (APN: 137-12-101-003), U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to PD (Planned Development) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

4
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

GREG BORGEL, 300 South 4th Street, appeared on behalf of MR. and MRS. LEE, together with GARY LICKER, 6687 West Tropicana, Suite 345. MR. BORGEL concurred with staff's conditions. He thanked the City Council for holding this item to enable the applicant to work with the master developer to ensure that the aesthetic standards of the master development would be carried through continuously on this project to integrate the development with the balance of the area.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 117 – U-0045-02

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN BROWN verified with MARGO WHEELER, Planning and Development Department, that all the conditions as listed on Item 119 [Z-0068-01(1)] have been agreed to by the applicant. COUNCILMAN BROWN pointed out that an agreement has been established that this ultimate development would be in conformance with the Lone Mountain West Master Plan and all their criteria. MR. LICKER emphasized that they have entered into an agreement with Desert Equities, Inc., and that the agreement was signed yesterday. The attorney for Desert Equities, Inc. recorded the document today. They have a separate set of CC&RS with exhibits and plan to take those exhibits and incorporate them fully into this project's CC&RS.

MS. WHEELER informed COUNCILMAN BROWN that the tavern would be 5,000 square feet. COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that the neighbors were concerned about the type of tavern, understanding that it cannot be determined what occurs within, as long as the aesthetics are controlled. Hopefully the tavern would be a family-type restaurant, and any kind of change, such as making it freestanding or increase the square footage, would have to come back before the City Council for review. MS. WHEELER replied that it is not currently written as a specific condition, but a condition could be added with regards to the limitation of the size of the tavern and that it not be freestanding. COUNCILMAN BROWN verified with MR. LICKER and MR. BORGEL that they would agree to that condition.

COUNCILMAN BROWN thanked MR. BORGEL and MR. LICKER for working with the master developer.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 117 [U-0045-02], Item 118 [U-0047-02] and Item 119 [Z-0068-01(1)] was held under Item 117 [U-0045-02].

(3:34 – 3:40)

5-3051

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 117 – U-0045-02

MINUTES – Continued:

2. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.
3. Conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Site Development Plan Review [Z-0068-01(1)].
4. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years after the approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time has been granted.
5. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO U-0045-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0047-02 - BRIAN AND JULIE LEE & GARY LICKER** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR AN OFF-PREMISE LIQUOR ESTABLISHMENT IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED DRUG STORE adjacent to the southeast corner of Alexander Road and Cliff Shadows Parkway (APN: 137-12-101-003), U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to PD (Planned Development), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****9****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****1****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM declared the Public Hearing open.

GREG BORGEL, 300 South 4th Street, appeared on behalf of MR. and MRS. LEE, together with GARY LICKER, 6687 West Tropicana, Suite 345.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 118 – U-0047-02

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 117 [U-0045-02], Item 118 [U-0047-02] and Item 119 [Z-0068-01(1)] was held under Item 117 [U-0045-02].

(3:34 – 3:40)

5-3051

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
2. The sale of individual containers of any size beer, wine coolers, or screw cap wine is prohibited.
3. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.
4. All deliveries shall be between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m.
5. Conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Site Development Plan Review [Z-0068-01(1)].
6. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years after the approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time has been granted.
7. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0045-02 AND U-0047-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0068-01(1) - BRIAN AND JULIE LEE & GARY LICKER** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Reduction in the Amount of Landscape Planter Finger Islands FOR A 22,920 SQUARE FOOT COMMERCIAL CENTER adjacent to the southeast corner of Alexander Road and Cliff Shadows Parkway (APN: 137-12-101-003), U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to PD (Planned Development), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

- **BROWN – APPROVED** subject to conditions and an added condition limiting the size of the tavern to that shown on the approved plan and that it not be freestanding.
- **UNANIMOUS** with **GOODMAN** excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

GREG BORGEL, 300 South 4th Street, appeared on behalf of MR. and MRS. LEE, together with GARY LICKER, 6687 West Tropicana, Suite 345.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 119 – Z-0068-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 117 [U-0045-02], Item 118 [U-0047-02] and Item 119 [Z-0068-01(1)] was held under Item 117 [U-0045-02].

(3:34 – 3:40)

5-3051

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. Submit for staff review a detailed cross-section of the Master Developer trail located within the existing approved City of Las Vegas easement on the eastern edge of the site prior to the issuance of any permits. Upon approval of the cross-section trail construction to occur concurrently with any other site development. Provide written proof to the City of Las Vegas of a maintenance agreement for this trail.
3. The impact statement required by Senate Bill 191 in accordance with the requirements of Ordinance No. 5227 shall be submitted to the Planning and Development Department prior to this item being heard by the City Council.
4. The applicant shall contribute \$38,700.00 towards the development of park 2 (in lieu of Open Space), within the Lone Mountain West Master Plan (5 acres X .0258 X 300,000 = \$38,700.00).
5. All deliveries shall be between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m.
6. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations.
7. The Architectural Design of all buildings on the site shall be reviewed by the Planning Commission as a Non-Public Hearing Item.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 119 – Z-0068-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

8. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
9. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
10. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
11. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
12. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
13. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
14. A Master Sign Plan must be approved by the City Council for this site prior to the issuance of any permits for this site.

Public Works

15. An update to the approved Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 119 – Z-0068-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

- determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
16. All onsite sewers shall be private, including the proposed lift station, and shall be privately maintained until gravity fed sewer can be provided to this site.
 17. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first.
 18. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-68-01 and all other site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0071-02 - ALTA MIRA COMMERCIAL LIMITED PARTNERSHIP ON BEHALF OF WAL-MART STORES, INC. - Request for a Special Use Permit TO ALLOW PACKAGED LIQUOR SALES FOR OFF-PREMISE CONSUMPTION IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED NEIGHBORHOOD MARKET located adjacent to the northeast corner of Craig Road and Jones Boulevard (APN: 138-01-219-002), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-1-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

571
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

11
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-1-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at City Council – List of conditions from the Rancho Alta Mira homeowners association submitted by Tim Kline

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

NOTE: A Combined Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

APPEARANCES:

TOM SKANCKE, representative for Wal-Mart Stores
TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street
BILL HORNBROOK, 1210 North Decatur Boulevard
TIM KLINE, 4417 Crimson Leaf Drive

RANDY HATTON, 5709 La Coma Way
AL GALLECO, citizen of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 120 – U-0071-02

MINUTES – Continued:

FRANCISCO MONROIG, 6113 Burnt Hills Drive
MARGO WHEELER, Planning and Development Department
LAURA ARIDONDO
BRYAN SCOTT, Deputy City Attorney
EBBIE NAKHJAVANI, 245 East Warm Springs Road
ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development Department

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 120 [U-0071-02] and Item 121 [Z-0108-88(15)] was held under Item 120 [U-0071-02].

(3:40 – 3:42/3:52 – 5:04)

5-3385/6-213

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
3. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
5. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-108-88) and Site Development Plan Review [Z-0108-88(15)].

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0071-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0108-88(15) - ALTA MIRA COMMERCIAL LIMITED PARTNERSHIP ON BEHALF OF WAL-MART STORES, INC. - Request for a Site Development Plan Review TO ALLOW A PROPOSED 39,910 SQUARE-FOOT WAL-MART NEIGHBORHOOD MARKET on 8.17 acres located adjacent to the northeast corner of Craig Road and Jones Boulevard (APN: 138-01-219-002), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-1-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

571

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

11

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-1-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending the following conditions:

3. **Delivery hours for Wal-Mart shall be between 5:30 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., 7 days a week with the review of this condition to be heard one year after the issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy.**
4. **Prior to the issuance of the building permit, the applicant shall receive approval from the Planning and Development Department on building elevations depicting screen walls, a minimum of 14-foot in height adjacent to the loading dock and trash areas.**
5. **The applicant shall work with Planning and Development and Fire Department staff within 60 days to determine on site truck access.**
7. **Provide a 20-foot wide landscape planter along the northern and eastern property lines with two staggered rows of trees 20-foot on center. The row adjacent to the existing residences shall be a 24-inch box and evergreen varieties. The row adjacent to Wal-Mart building and the parking area shall be 36-inch box Mondale Pines. A berm of 30**

inches in height shall be constructed within the landscape planter area, and wall pack lighting shall be provided within this planter for additional security.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 121 – Z-0108-88(15)

MOTION – Continued:

8. *A decorative block wall, eight feet in height with curbed metal fencing on top or an alternative plan acceptable by Planning staff, shall be constructed along the northern and eastern property lines.*

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting it was clarified by the Planning and Development Department that review of condition would be heard by the City Council.

NOTE: A Combined Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

APPEARANCES:

TOM SKANCKE, representative for Wal-Mart Stores

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street

BILL HORNBROOK, 1210 North Decatur Boulevard

TIM KLINE, 4417 Crimson Leaf Drive

RANDY HATTON, 5709 La Coma Way

AL GALLEGOS, citizen of Las Vegas

FRANCISCO MONROIG, 6113 Burnt Hills Drive

MARGO WHEELER, Planning and Development Department

LAURA ARIDONDO

BRYAN SCOTT, Deputy City Attorney

EBBIE NAKHJAVANI, 245 East Warm Springs Road

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development Department

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 120 [U-0071-02] and Item 121 [Z-0108-88(15)] was held under Item 120 [U-0071-02].

(3:40 – 3:42/3:52 – 5:04)

5-3385/6-213

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 121 – Z-0108-88(15)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The handicap parking stalls shall be located in the parking row located on the southern side of the building and within other parking in close proximity to the entrance of the building.
2. No overnight Recreational Vehicle parking on the site.
3. Delivery hours for Walmart shall be between 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., 7 days a week.
4. The applicant shall work with Planning and Development Department staff and submit building elevations to the Planning and Development Department prior to the issuance of a building permit to depict enclosed delivery areas and loading docks.
5. The applicant shall work with Planning and Development Department staff to prevent trucks from accessing the north and east sides of the building.
6. The applicant shall work with Planning and Development staff and provide a noise study to address the trash compactor noise issue.
7. Provide a 20 foot wide landscape planter along the north and easterly property lines with two rows of 36 inch box Mondale Pines 20 feet on center. A 30 inch berm shall also be constructed as part of the landscaped planter area.
8. The decorative block wall along the north and east property lines shall be eight feet in height.
9. Install 20 foot high light poles throughout the parking field in a manner to preclude fugitive lighting to the adjacent properties. Fifteen foot high light poles shall be installed along the north and east sides of the site to reduce the amount of glare generated by the project.
10. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
11. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations submitted to Planning Commission on September 12, 2002, except as amended by conditions herein.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 121 – Z-0108-88(15)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

12. The site plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect the three easternmost rows of parking “flipped” with the two way driveway in the center of the parking lot removed.
13. The site plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect some type of barrier and ground cover to prevent customers from driving through the pad sites and causing a dust nuisance.
14. Prior to the submittal of a building permit, the applicant shall meet with Planning and Development Department staff to develop a comprehensive address plan for the subject site. A copy of the approved address plan shall be submitted with any future building permit applications related to the site.
15. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
16. A detailed landscaping plan indicating the size and type of each species must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
17. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
18. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
19. A Master Sign Plan shall be submitted for approval of the Planning Commission or City Council prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for any building on the site.
20. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 121 – Z-0108-88(15)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

21. Any proposed property line walls, if any, shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
22. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
23. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

24. Provide a 20 foot wide Public Sewer Easement overlying the existing 10 foot wide private sewer easement for the existing 8 inch on-site private sewer, thus making the 8 inch sewer line public. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section to determine what other steps may be required to make the on-site sewer line public; comply with the recommendations of the Collection Systems Planning Section prior to occupancy of this site.
25. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards prior to occupancy of this site.
26. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a. Driveways accessing Craig Road shall also comply with Nevada Department of Transportation standards.
27. An update to the previously approved Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 121 – Z-0108-88(15)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

28. Obtain an Occupancy Permit and or submit an encroachment application for all landscaping and private improvements (driveways) in the Craig Road public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to the issuance of any permits.
29. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, as required by the Department of Public Works. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements. The amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first. In lieu of monetary contributions, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to construct such drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site if allowed by the Planning Engineer.
30. Per the intent of a commercial subdivision, all parcels and pad sites within the boundaries of the Rancho Alta Mira Plaza (Commercial Subdivision) shall have perpetual, unrestricted access to all driveways servicing the overall subdivision. No walls, curbs, or other barriers shall be erected preventing such inter-site access.
31. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0108-88, the Rancho Alta Mira Plaza (Commercial Subdivision) and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0104-02 - LIPKIN 1992 TRUST ON BEHALF OF LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A 40-FOOT TALL, 14-FOOT BY 48-FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN on property located at 1501 Western Avenue (APN: 162-04-605-005), M (Industrial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining because he has a possible business agreement with an executive for another billboard company and GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

SCOTT NEFTZGER, Lamar Outdoor Advertising, 1863 Helm Drive, stated that this proposed location conforms to the code. This billboard would be appropriate for this particular area due to the industrial nature of the area.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, asked to see an elevation of the billboard. He believes that the billboard needs to be enhanced and suggested that neon lights be added to the billboard.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 122 – U-0104-02

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:42 – 3:45)

5-3478

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in two years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
3. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
4. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.
5. The entire face-area of both sides of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign shall be signage area or its border framework; none of the supporting structure shall be visible aside from the support pole.
6. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0105-02 - E & S CENTER ON BEHALF OF ARACELI GARCIA-ACOSTA** - Request for a Special Use Permit for A RESTAURANT SERVICE BAR IN CONJUNCTION WITH AN EXISTING RESTAURANT (EL MALECON MEXICAN RESTAURANT) AND FOR A WAIVER OF THE MINIMUM 400-FOOT SEPARATION REQUIREMENT FROM A CITY PARK at 2407 South Eastern Avenue (APN: 162-01-401-008), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). **(NOTE: This item to be heard in conjunction with Morning Session Item #47).** The Planning Commission (4-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Karen Holmes

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ARACELI GARCIA ACOSTA, 4236 Fairfax Circle, #1, was present.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated that there is not enough parking on this site.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 123 – U-0105-02

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 123 [U-0105-02] and Item 47 [Beer/Wine/Cooler On-Sale Liquor License, Araceli Garcia-Acosta, El Malecon Mexican Restaurant] was held under Item 123 [U-0105-02].

(3:45 – 3:47)

5-3640

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
3. Conformance to all minimum requirements under Subchapter 19A.04.050 of the City of Las Vegas Zoning Code for a Restaurant Service Bar use.
4. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 (Liquor Control) of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code, which states that a restaurant service bar license authorizes alcoholic beverages to be sold for consumption only in connection with meals served at tables on the premises of the restaurant where the same are sold.
5. Conformance to all applicable Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0159-63) and Site Development Plan Review [Z-0159-63(2)].
6. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0108-02 - TIMOTHY D. REED -
 Appeal filed by Timothy Reed from the Denial by the Planning Commission on a request for a Special Use Permit FOR LIVESTOCK FARMING (13 HORSES AND ONE BOVINE) WHERE A MAXIMUM OF SIX HORSES OR BOVINES IS ALLOWED ON 1.08 ACRES AND A WAIVER OF THE MINIMUM 1.25 ACRE PARCEL SIZE REQUIREMENT AND THE MINIMUM SQUARE FEET OF LOT AREA ALLOWED PER HORSE AND BOVINE situated on 1.08 acres at 5401 Rome Boulevard (APN: 125-24-403-002), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****6****City Council Meeting****3****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****8****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter filed by Timothy & Tanya Reed
5. Correspondence from Tanya Reed requesting abeyance
6. Submitted after final agenda – Three protest letters

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to WITHDRAW WITH PREJUDICE Item 95 [V-0064-02], HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 106 [SNC-0002-99(1)] to 12/18/2002, Item 124 [U-0108-02] to 12/4/2002, Item 127 [Z-0054-02], 128 [Z-0054-02(1)], 131 [Z-0069-02], Item 132 [Z-0069-02(1)], to 11/06/2002 - UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(2:15 – 2:20)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0109-02 - GILBERT FAMILY TRUST 3RD REINSTATEMENT ON BEHALF OF LA MOJARRA LOCA, INC. - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A RESTAURANT SERVICE BAR IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED RESTAURANT at 821 North Lamb Boulevard, #14 (APN: 140-29-301-010), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (4-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CHARLES RADOSEVICH, 324 South 3rd Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:47 – 3:48)

5-3800

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 125 – U-0109-02

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
3. Conformance to all minimum requirements under Subchapter 19A.04.050 of the City of Las Vegas Zoning Code for a Restaurant Service Bar use.
4. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 (Liquor Control) of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code, which states that a restaurant service bar license authorizes alcoholic beverages to be sold for consumption only in connection with meals served at tables on the premises of the restaurant where the same are sold.
5. Conformance to all applicable Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0077-86) and Site Development Plan Review [Z-0077-86(1)].
6. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0111-02** - **TAWNYA SHEIKHAN ON BEHALF OF SHAHRAM, INC.** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A TATTOO PARLOR/BODY PIERCING STUDIO on 0.36 acres at 4401 North Rancho Road (APN: 138-02-602-001), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (3-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****3****City Council Meeting****4****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (3-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Three protest letters

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE announced that there has been a request to bring Item 126 [U-0111-02] forward for discussion and action.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID CHESSNOFF appeared together with RICHARD SHONFELD to represent the applicant. MR. CHESSNOFF concurred with staff's conditions.

ORVIE DAHL, 6204 Sierra Pines Court, appeared in opposition of the proposed tattoo parlor. He resides adjacent to the property in question. His concern is that the tattoo parlor will bring in an

unpleasant group of people, which will cause property values to decline. He pointed out that not even the City Council would want a tattoo parlor next to their homes.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 126 – U-0111-02

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK indicated that he has not seen any evidence that tattoo parlors or body piercing studios cause the deterioration of a neighborhood. He asked staff to clarify their recommendation for denial. ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development Department, explained that in this situation this property has existing zoning that is not in conformance with the General Plan. Earlier in the year, the City Council directed that staff look at those situations whenever an application came in and make a recommendation accordingly. In this particular situation, what needs to happen is that either the General Plan needs to be changed to accommodate the zoning or the zoning needs to be changed to accommodate the plan.

COUNCILMAN MACK pointed out that the City is currently working on the entire Rancho Corridor to make it conform. The other side of the street would be all C-2 zoning, making this use appropriate for this particular application. This applicant needs to be weighed on its merits.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:20 – 2:25)

5-144

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
3. All Health District regulations must be satisfied.

Public Works

4. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. All new or modifications to existing driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0054-02 - NELLIS LAND COMPANY** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development, 5 Units per Acre) of 7.65 acres adjacent to the northeast corner of Bonanza Road and Marion Drive (APN:140-29-801-004), Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****4****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Letter from Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw requesting abeyance

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to WITHDRAW WITH PREJUDICE Item 95 [V-0064-02], HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 106 [SNC-0002-99(1)] to 12/18/2002, Item 124 [U-0108-02] to 12/4/2002, Item 127 [Z-0054-02], 128 [Z-0054-02(1)], 131 [Z-0069-02], Item 132 [Z-0069-02(1)], to 11/06/2002 - UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(2:15 – 2:20)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0054-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0054-02(1) - NELLIS LAND COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 37-LOT RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on 7.65 acres adjacent to the northeast corner of Bonanza Road and Marion Drive (APN:140-29-801-004), PROPOSED R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units per Acre) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****5****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Letter from Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw requesting abeyance

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to WITHDRAW WITH PREJUDICE Item 95 [V-0064-02], HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 106 [SNC-0002-99(1)] to 12/18/2002, Item 124 [U-0108-02] to 12/4/2002, Item 127 [Z-0054-02], 128 [Z-0054-02(1)], 131 [Z-0069-02], Item 132 [Z-0069-02(1)], to 11/06/2002 - UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(2:15 – 2:20)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0067-02 - DAVID M. PAWL ON BEHALF OF SOUTHWEST DESIGN GROUP, INC. - Request for a Rezoning FROM: RE (Residence Estates) TO: C-1 (Limited Commercial) on 0.47 acres located at 2020 West Bonanza Road (APN: 139-28-301-025), PROPOSED USE: OFFICE, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

RICHARD MORENO, 300 South 4th Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions. He thanked COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and his staff for their time and effort.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, asked in what way would the landscaping be reduced. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY replied that it would be upgraded. He thanked the applicant for adhering to the conditions. He will be working with Planning staff to clean up the zoning along the Bonanza Corridor.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 129 – Z-0067-02

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 129 [Z-0067-02] and 130 [Z-0067-02(1)] was held under Item 129 [Z-0067-02].

(3:48 – 3:52)

6-102

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a Two Year Time Limit.
2. A Site Development Plan approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to the issuance of any permits, site grading or any development activity on the site.

Public Works

3. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
4. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 129 – Z-0067-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, or compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0067-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0067-02(1) - DAVID M. PAWL ON BEHALF OF SOUTHWEST DESIGN GROUP, INC.** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and Reduction of the Perimeter Landscape Requirements FOR A 3,230 SQUARE FOOT OFFICE CONVERSION on 0.47 acres located at 2020 West Bonanza Road (APN: 139-28-301-025), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, [PROPOSED: C-1 (Limited Commercial)], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO REM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

RICHARD MORENO, 300 South 4th Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 130 – Z-0067-02(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR PRO REM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 129 [Z-0067-02] and 130 [Z-0067-02(1)] was held under Item 129 [Z-0067-02].

(3:48 – 3:52)

6-102

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Rezoning (Z-0067-02) to C-1 (Limited Commercial) shall be approved by the City Council.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire within two years from the date of approval unless exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development and landscaping shall take place as depicted on the site plan.
4. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.
5. A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
6. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners, and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
7. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize shoe box fixtures and downward-directed lighting. Property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, or create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
8. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments shall be satisfied.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 130 – Z-0067-02(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Public Works

9. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.
10. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.
11. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements located in the public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to issuance of any permits for this site.
12. Coordinate all improvements within The Nevada Department of Transportation right of way with The Nevada Department of Transportation.
13. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-0067-02 and any other site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0069-02 - CONCORDIA HOMES NEVADA, INC. -
Request for a Rezoning FROM: U(Undeveloped) Zone [ML-TC (Medium Low Density Residential - Town Center) General Plan Designation] TO: TC (Town Center) on approximately 20.27 acres located adjacent to the northwest and southeast corners of Deer Springs Way and Campbell Road (APN's: 125-20-301-006 and 007, 125-20-201-011 and 012), PROPOSED USE: 142-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to WITHDRAW WITH PREJUDICE Item 95 [V-0064-02], HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 106 [SNC-0002-99(1)] to 12/18/2002, Item 124 [U-0108-02] to 12/4/2002, Item 127 [Z-0054-02], 128 [Z-0054-02(1)], 131 [Z-0069-02], Item 132 [Z-0069-02(1)], to 11/06/2002 - UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MARGO WHEELER, Planning and Development Department, indicated that Item 131 [Z-0069-02] is companion item to Item 132 [Z-0079-02(1)] and should also be held in abeyance to the 11/06/2002 City Council.

There was no further discussion.

(2:15 – 2:20)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0069-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0069-02(1) - CONCORDIA HOMES NEVADA, INC.** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 142-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on approximately 20.27 acres located adjacent to the northwest and southeast corners of Deer Springs Way and Campbell Road (APN's: 125-20-301-006 and 007, 125-20-201-011 and 012), U (Undeveloped) Zone [ML-TC (Medium Low Density Residential - Town Center) General Plan Designation], [PROPOSED: T-C (Town Center)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Letter from VTN Nevada requesting abeyance

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to WITHDRAW WITH PREJUDICE Item 95 [V-0064-02], HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 106 [SNC-0002-99(1)] to 12/18/2002, Item 124 [U-0108-02] to 12/4/2002, Item 127 [Z-0054-02], 128 [Z-0054-02(1)], 131 [Z-0069-02], Item 132 [Z-0069-02(1)], to 11/06/2002 - UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

MINUTES:

MARGO WHEELER, Planning and Development Department, indicated that Item 131 [Z-0069-02] is companion item to Item 132 [Z-0079-02(1)] and should also be held in abeyance to the 11/06/2002 City Council.

There was no further discussion.

(2:15 – 2:20)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SET DATE ON ANY APPEALS FILED OR REQUIRED PUBLIC HEARINGS FROM THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS, CENTENNIAL HILLS ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMITTEE AND DANGEROUS BUILDING OR NUISANCE/LITTER ABATEMENTS

DB 3926 Alameda Avenue, U-0027-95(2), U-0041-95(2), U-0115-02, U-0117-02, U-0119-02, U-0121-02, V-0086-95(2), V-0066-97(1), V-0051-02, V-0057-02, V-0063-02, V-0067-02, V-0068-02, V-0070-02, V-0071-02, VAR1006, VAC-0064-02, VAC-0065-02, VAC-0066-02, VAC-0067-02, VAC-0068-02, VAC-0069-02, VAC-0070-02, VAC-0071-02 - 11/6/2002 AGENDA

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ADDENDUM:

None.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 16, 2002

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:

Items raised under this portion of the City Council Agenda cannot be deliberated or acted upon until the notice provisions of the Open Meeting Law have been met. If you wish to speak on a matter not listed on the agenda, please step up to the podium and clearly state your name and address. In consideration of others, avoid repetition, and limit your comments to no more than three (3) minutes. To ensure all persons equal opportunity to speak, each subject matter will be limited to ten (10) minutes.

MINUTES:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated people are living in an RV parked in the driveway at 116 North 18th Street, and there is a fence around the RV. Many properties that are under construction do not have permits. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE asked MR. FARLOW to call his office and he will get someone to go and investigate.

He asked for a Master Trail map. ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, replied that MR. FARLOW could pick up the map at the Planning office.

MR. FARLOW commended MIKE SHELDON, Director of Detention and Enforcement, and his officers for doing a wonderful job.

He mentioned that the current process used by Republic Services relating to recycling is not efficient and it does not serve its purpose. He asked that the City look into having Republic Services pick up all the recycling together and then sort it out at the site.

On power conservation, MR. FARLOW stated that with the help of Building and Safety, his power bill has decreased. It can be done and this can be shown to all the senior citizens who are having problems paying their power bills. This issue should be put into the conservation element to come up with some sort of policy.

Lastly, regarding RTC (Regional Transportation Commission) funding schools, he suggested that sales tax should be indexed to the sale tax. If the poorest people in town can pay 7.5% on the sales tax, the richest people can afford to pay 7.5% as well. It would do a lot to alleviate this funding on the school.

(5:04 – 5:10)

6-3061



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
CITIZEN PARTICIPATION

MINUTES – Continued:

RENEE FONTES, 5604 Royal Castle Lane, submitted photographs, a video and testimonials from her neighbors regarding an ongoing problem in her neighborhood caused by the residents at 5600 Royal Castle Lane, between Ann Road and Tenaya Way. The police were called several times but with no results. She asked for the City Council to help or direct her to someone who can possibly resolve this matter. She submitted her written comments for the record. COUNCILMAN MACK asked that she contact his office.

ANTHONY FONTES, 5604 Royal Castle Lane, indicated that he drives a bus for the school district and has made several attempts to speak to these people. The house has become the neighborhood teenage hangout, and the condition of the house is bringing down the value of the neighborhood. Anytime the police are called, there is some type of retaliation. He asked if there is a City ordinance that can stop this from happening. The police department has been limited on what they can enforce.

JULIE HARTOWL, police officer, stated that she employs MS. FONTES to provide childcare for her son. She has experienced trash being thrown into the street and against her truck. She has had her tires slashed. There have been 22 calls for service by Metro and four calls to Code Enforcement. A code enforcement supervisor told her that there will be a trustee sale on October 22nd at 10:30 a.m. She asked if anything can be done to make sure that the individuals currently in the residence are removed as quickly as possible. She fears for her son's safety because the individual has made open threats to retaliate, but not specific enough to submit a report citing any kind of threats to life. COUNCILMAN MACK asked her to call his office.

(5:10 – 5:15)

6-3390

MELVA CLAY, 7083 Berkott, Unit #93, brought forward an issue that she tried to resolve, but was unable to do so. She sustained injuries and tried to cooperate with the doctor who caused those injuries, but when she went to the hospital to try to resolve this issue, the doctor had hospital security escort her out. The doctor twisted her intestine, causing additional medical problems. She has contacted the medical examiner's board, she has tried to speak with judges, filed several complaints with Governor Guinn, contacted senators and police officers, but no one has contacted her to resolve this serious matter. She has also gone to different doctors in town, but they would not take her case because they do not want to be liable. She needs medical attention and stated that she needs an out-of-state medical card. MS. CLAY added that she filed for Social Security, but Judge Daniel Lowry would not give a fair hearing. He got angry with her and denied her disability. She had tried to go public with this matter, but the media will not take this story. She asked for someone to step in and help her with this matter. She is a single parent, but due to medical errors, she has not been able to work.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002 CITIZEN PARTICIPATION

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE asked if she tried to get an attorney. MS. CLAY replied that they have told her that she does have a case, but they are not willing to take the case. She has done everything possible. The City leaders need to step in and help her with this matter. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT indicated that this is a private matter between MS. CLAY'S doctor and herself. This would probably require a malpractice claim. He suggested she call the State bar for a referral service. MS. CLAY reiterated that she already has done that and has kept a list of all the resources she has contacted. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE asked MS. CLAY to give CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY her phone number to see what, if anything, can be done.

(5:15 – 5:20)

7-32

DEAN FLETCHER, President of the City Firefighters Union, submitted an information packet for the record. The packet contains the Cities of Las Vegas/North Las Vegas hiring for firefighter trainees. He read into the record the examination procedures and a section from the Civil Service rules relating to the appointment of candidates from eligible lists established as a result of competitive examination. He also submitted a list of all the candidates and the firefighter trainee open list of the new firefighters that have been hired. They are fine young men and women and they look forward to becoming members of the City's fire department. However, in the group one and two lists, it shows that the fire department hired 25 from the first group and bypassed 52 people in the first group to the second group. He asked the City Council to investigate why that occurred. He mentioned that during a news interview, CHIEF DAVID WASHINGTON stated that he is the hiring authority for the Fire Department. Is it Human Resources that did this, or was it the Fire Chief?

MR. FLETCHER indicated that the new recruits started the fire academy on Monday and were welcomed by the Fire Chief with profanity and threats. He asked the Council to obtain a copy and review the tape. Part of it made the news. This is the way he presents himself to the members of the Fire Department on a daily basis, and enough is enough. The video demonstrates a hidden agenda. What message is he sending to the new recruits? These men and women are scared to death of this gentleman. They were given a direct order not to talk to any members or father or a son or a brother about what occurs in that academy, and there is a reason why. It is up to the City Council to find out what is going on within the City's Fire Department. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE directed CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY to look into this issue and report back to the Council.

5:20 – 5:26)

7-196

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 16, 2002
CITIZEN PARTICIPATION

MINUTES – Continued:

AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas, suggested that MR. FLETCHER speak to CHIEF WASHINGTON face to face and not make remarks behind his back. CHIEF WASHINGTON wants to have all ethnic groups within the Fire Department.

Regarding the Wal-Mart store, MR. GALLEG0 indicated that it would be very difficult for a truck to make a right-hand turn once all the pads are developed. Most of the Wal-Mart drivers are independent drivers and make deliveries day and night.

MR. GALLEG0 complained that the Wal-Mart on Decatur Boulevard does not have enough cashiers during the late hours. Also, Wal-Mart needs more bilingual employees.

(5:26 –5:28)

7-331

MEETING ADJOURNED AT 5:28 P.M.